

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_125715
Title of the Manuscript:	STRATEGY FOR IMPLEMENTING A TRACEABILITY APPROACH AT TWO DRIED MANGO PRODUCTION UNITS IN CÔTE D'IVOIRE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>This manuscript on implementing a traceability approach in dried mango production is significant for the scientific community as it addresses critical issues related to food safety, quality assurance, and sustainability in agricultural practices. By exploring traceability, the study contributes to enhancing consumer confidence and market transparency, which are increasingly important in today's global food supply chain.</p> <p>I appreciate this manuscript for its potential to inform best practices and improve operational efficiencies in the food industry. Additionally, it highlights the intersection of technology and agriculture, which is essential for advancing research and innovation in food production systems.</p>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	of course	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<p>The abstract provides a clear overview of the study's objectives, methodology, and key findings. Here are some aspects that contribute to its comprehensiveness:</p> <p>1. Purpose: The overall aim of improving quality and competitiveness in dried mango processing units is clearly stated.</p> <p>2. Context: It specifies the geographical focus (Côte d'Ivoire) and the particular industry (dried mango production), which helps to contextualize the research.</p> <p>3. Findings: It highlights important findings regarding fruit loss during ripening and the differences in coding procedures between the two companies.</p> <p>4. Outcome: The proposal for a traceability scheme tailored to the companies' realities indicates practical implications of the research.</p>	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness through its structured approach to evaluating traceability systems in dried mango production units. By employing a systematic evaluation grid and utilizing radar and Pareto diagrams, the study effectively quantifies satisfaction levels and identifies critical areas for improvement. The differentiation in fruit loss methods between the two companies highlights the nuanced understanding of operational practices, which is essential for developing tailored solutions. Additionally, the proposal for a traceability scheme that considers the specific realities of each company underscores a practical application of theoretical principles, reinforcing the manuscript's technical soundness and relevance to enhancing competitiveness in the agro-industrial sector.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	yes	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Solomon Musema Mussa
Department, University & Country	Werabe University, Ethiopia