

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_125715
Title of the Manuscript:	STRATEGY FOR IMPLEMENTING A TRACEABILITY APPROACH AT TWO DRIED MANGO PRODUCTION UNITS IN CÔTE D'IVOIRE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript explores the strategy for implementing a traceability approach in two dried mango production units in Côte d'Ivoire. The work is relevant to agri-food production and addresses an important gap in ensuring product traceability, which is crucial for enhancing the competitiveness of food products in local and international markets. The manuscript also highlights key challenges in traceability, such as document management and coding procedures, which adds value to practical industry applications.	Yes
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is informative but could be more concise. Suggested alternative: 'Traceability Strategy for Dried Mango Production Units: A Study in Côte d'Ivoire.'	Thank you for suggesting an effective title
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Add the primary findings, such as the satisfaction percentages (62.74% and 74.47%), to make it more informative.	Noted
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the structure is mostly appropriate, but a clearer flow between sections (objectives, methodology, and results) would improve readability.	Ok
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically sound in identifying the elements required for effective traceability and highlighting challenges faced by small production units. It provides actionable insights for improving coding and document management systems, which are critical for achieving traceability standards. However, the analysis would benefit from additional validation techniques.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	The references are mostly relevant, but some are outdated. Consider including more recent literature on traceability systems in agri-food industries to strengthen the paper. Please scientific articles are rare in this area of traceability Can you suggest me some recent articles in this area?	Update
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are some grammatical errors and awkward phrases. The manuscript would benefit from thorough proofreading to enhance clarity.	Checked
Optional/General comments	The manuscript addresses a relevant problem in the agri-food industry, and the findings are valuable. With some revisions, the paper can make a significant contribution to the literature on traceability systems.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	