

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Agriculture</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_126401
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS OF CONSTRAINTS FACED IN THE Bt COTTON SEED MARKET IN DEVBHUMI DWARKA DISTRICT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Its very important to analyse the gaps in market as it decides the crop future, weather area of cultivation increase or not	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is well structured	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Little bit elaboration needed in the introduction, where it can discuss the impact of the study. This study finds the gaps in the market but is might have suggested some strategies to overcome it as future perspective to be answered. If possible it should be correlated with other similar studies.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Though the references listed in the bottom of the manuscript, they were not coated in the running text. It is suggested to coat them in text as well.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	Reference must be included in the text, otherwise it will be meaning less for a scientific writing.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 3](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Tulasi Lakshmi Thentu
Department, University & Country	Natural Resources Institute of Finland, Finland