

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_126401
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS OF CONSTRAINTS FACED IN THE Bt COTTON SEED MARKET IN DEVBHUMI DWARKA DISTRICT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Its very important to analyse the gaps in market as it decides the crop future, weather area of cultivation increase or not	Its important to addressing issues and recommendation for stackholders.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	ok
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is well structured	ok
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	ok
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Little bit elaboration needed in the introduction, where it can discuss the impact of the study. This study finds the gaps in the market but is might have suggested some strategies to overcome it as future perspective to be answered. If possible it should be correlated with other similar studies.	We appreciate the reviewer's suggestion to further elaborate on the study's impact and correlate it with similar research. In the current manuscript, we have provided an overview of the market constraints and proposed strategies in the <i>Results and Discussion</i> and <i>Conclusion</i> sections, where we emphasize possible interventions and recommendations for stakeholders. To avoid redundancy in the introduction, we chose to keep it concise, focusing on the study's aim and background.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Though the references listed in the bottom of the manuscript, they were not coated in the running text. It is suggested to coat them in text as well.	Correction is done in manuscript
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		
	Reference must be included in the text, otherwise it will be meaning less for a scientific writing.	Correction is done in manuscript

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	