

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_126143
Title of the Manuscript:	Voters’ Experience During Elections of 2011 to 2021: A Hermeneutic Phenomenological Approach in Chadiza Constituency, Eastern Province, Zambia.
Type of the Article	Case Study

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1. The manuscript focuses on significant challenges that voters face on election days. 2. This research has the potential to impact society, and recommendations are helpful for future election free of violence. 3. I must express my appreciation for the clear methodology and data presented in the manuscript.	Much appreciated and so encouraging.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, some modification needed. A Hermeneutic Phenomenological Approach in Chadiza Constituency, Eastern Province, Zambia for Voter's Experience During the Elections from 2011 to 2021.	Changes have been done
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	1. Avoid unnecessary space in between two lines. 2. More grammatical mistakes and caps in between two words. 3. Ensure the continuity of sentences that are interrelated.	Comments attended to
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	1. The chapter put the correct numbers. Example: 1. Introduction, 2. Literature Review. 2. Replace "non political" word with "non-political". 3. Avoid adding a separate subtitle for the objectives. The abstract itself incorporates objectives.	Done, thank you
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Scientific contribution is enough for a case study article. You should offer useful guidance to individuals facing challenging situation. Additionally, provide strategies for conquering such challenges.	Appreciated
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references provided are adequate, but they are not upto date. All the references are quite old.	Attended to
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	 Correct the sentence formation and avoid unnecessary space; follow the manuscript template format.	Done
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Nil	Thank you

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	