

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_126738
Title of the Manuscript:	Septate gallbladder a rare anatomical variation: About two cases
Type of the Article	Case report

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Gall bladder septum with pericholecystic inflammation will make the dissection of calots triangle difficult. Preoperative MRI is useful in identifying the anatomical variations. The Discussion part of the manuscript is written in depth and its useful for the readers,	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Septate Gallbladder as an Uncommon Anatomical Variant: Insights from Two Cases and review of the literature.	Done
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Please increase the size of the abstract. Mention about the practical problems for the surgeon because of this anatomic variations,	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is scientifically sound. The intraoperative picture of the second case is not given. The quality of the available images can be improved if feasible.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Nil	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes. I have made changes and I have added the file also along with this	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	