

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_126838
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence and Antibiotic Susceptibility Profile of Uropathogenic ESBL-Producing Klebsiella pneumonia in Keffi Metropolis.
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study is important from a clinical perspective: universal health coverage and the place of PHCs in antimicrobial stewardship. This study aimed to determine the prevalence and antibiotic susceptibility pattern of uropathogenic <i>K. pneumoniae</i> in Keffi, Nigeria. This study helps to understand the prevalence of antibiotic resistance associated with <i>K. pneumoniae</i> isolated from patients with UTIs in the study area.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article is suitable with a small change- Prevalence and Antibiotic Susceptibility Profile of Uropathogenic ESBL-Producing <i>Klebsiella pneumonia</i> in Keffi Metropolis, <b>Nigeria</b>	Observation is noted and has been effected
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive. Background of the study must be included the information about uropathogenic extended spacterum beta lactamase producing <i>K. pneumoniae</i> . Remove the words Study Design and Place and Duration of Study; write a sentences of Study Design and Place and Duration of Study in <b>Methodology</b>	These too have been noted by the authors and necessary changes have been made
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriately structured	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The objective of the study must be written at the end of the research introduction, particularly last line of statement. In materials and methods, write name of country in study area It is scientifically robust because the article is written clearly . However, the research objective is well clarified. The inclusion/exclusion criteria, isolation, identification, and antibiotic susceptibility testing are obvious.. The study provided significant data to contribute to the understanding of the prevalence of antibiotic resistance associated with <i>K. pneumoniae</i> isolated from patients with UTIs in Keffi Metropolis, Nigeria	Authors agree with the observations and have made adjustments
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, references are sufficient, and as a suggestion, the author should write the date of publication after the name of the journal, as considered in Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases.	Authors agree with the observations and have made adjustments
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	