

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_126838
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence and Antibiotic Susceptibility Profile of Uropathogenic ESBL-Producing Klebsiella pneumonia in Keffi Metropolis.
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =		

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">I have seen lots of typing error with misspelled wordsIn topic instead of writing uropathogenic can be written uropathogens.Some sentence you used are way too informal like worrisome, instead you can simply write concerned.In abstract there is no any data of ESBL, include it too.Some data can be written precisely.Article is being repeated more than once in many sentences in discussion and introductionRecommendation can be written more like biofilm production, testing more antibiotics which can be susceptible.	Manuscript have been proofread and typing errorrs have been addressed Adjustment to topic has been effected All other obsevation have been noted and correction have been made accordingly

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	