

Review Form 3

JournalName:	AsianJournalofResearchinComputerScience
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_AJRCOS_126899
TitleoftheManuscript:	MachineLearningandDeepLearningApproachesBasedRosePlantLeafDiseaseRecognition
TypeoftheArticle	

Review Form 3

PART1: ReviewComments

CompulsoryREVISIONcomments	Reviewer'scomment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part inthemanuscript.Itismandatorythatauthorsshouldwritehis/herfeedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance ofthismanuscriptforthescientificcommunity.Whydo youlike(ordislike)thismanuscript? Aminimumof3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Themethodusedinthestudyissound,andtheresultsofferinsightfulinformationthatmay guide further research and real-world applications.Ipparticularlyappreciatehowtheauthorsintegratethistopic, asthisoffersafresh perspective on “Rose Plant Leaf Disease Recognition”.IbelievethemanuscriptcouldbenefitfromfurtherexplorationofMLandDLapproaches, which would strengthen the overall argument.	I used MI and DL approaches for this research. But title is not good for seen. I changed my title.
Isthethitleofthearticlesuitable? (Ifnotpleasesuggestanalternativetitle)	Yes.	ok
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggesttheaddition(ordelation)ofsomepointsinthis section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes.	ok
Aresubsectionsandstructureofthemanuscript appropriate?	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Numberthemainandsub-sectionscorrectlytoavoidanyconfusion.Aclear,viewableimageisrequired.(Figure 1.)	All clear sections arealso available with figure names.
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctnessofthismanuscript.Whydoyouthinkthat thismanuscriptisscientificallyrobustandtechnically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">TheauthorisaskedtoprovidefurtherexplanationsfortheacronymslistedinFigure1.Theresultsandanalysissectionsshouldbebetteranalyzedanddevelopedfurther.Theauthorisrequestedtoincludeatablethatcomparestheoutcomesofallimplementationmo dels.	I will provide a comparative study table with the results.
Arethereferencesufficientandrecent?Ifyouhave suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Tomaketheliteraturereviewbetter,afewadditionalrecentresourcesareadvised.	Ok I will add this also.
MinorREVISIONcomments		
Isthelanguage/Englishqualityofthearticlesuitable for scholarly communications?	<ul style="list-style-type: none">The languageofthepaperneedscafeulediting.	Ok, I will check again.
Optional/Generalcomments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No