

ReviewForm3

JournalName:	AsianJournalofResearchin AgricultureandForestry
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_AJRAF_126101
Titleof theManuscript:	Farmers’PerceptionofBenchTerracePerformanceandEffectivenessinSouthernTigray,NorthernEthiopia
Typeof the Article	OriginalResearchArticle

PART1: ReviewComments

CompulsoryREVISIONcomments	Reviewer’scomment	Author’sFeedback(Pleasecorrectthemanuscriptandhighlightthatpart inthemanuscript.Itismandatorythatauthorsshouldwritehis/herfeedback here)
Pleasewriteafew sentencesregardingtheimportance ofthismanuscriptfor thescientificcommunity.Whydo youlike(ordislike)thismanuscript?Aminimumof3-4 sentencesmayberequiredforthispart.	Soilerosion,isanalarmingissueinthisage becauseofclimatechangeandexploitationofresourcesby increasingpopulation.Proposingandconstructingwithouttheenduser’sconcerndoesn’tmakea pointin thesedays.Henceit isgoodstudyinvolvingfarmersintheplanningstageaswellasassessingthe performancefromthemisexcellent	Taken as it is (reviewers’ comment)
Isthetitleofthearticlesuitable? (Ifnotpleasesuggestanalternativetitle)	No needtochange	Taken as it is (reviewers' comment)
Istheabstractofthearticlecomprehensive?Doyou suggesttheaddition(ordelation)of somepointsinthis section?Pleasewriteyoursuggestionshere.	No changesisrequired	Taken as it is (reviewers' comment)
Aresubsectionsandstructureofthemanuscri pt appropriate?	Yes	Taken as it is (reviewers' comment)
Pleasewriteafew sentencesregardingthescientific correctnessofthismanuscript.Whydoyouthinktha t thismanuscriptisscientificallyrobustandtechnica lly sound?Aminimumof3-4sentencesmayberequiredforthispart.	It wouldbegoodiftheyincludedaquantitativecomparisonof soillosswiththefarmer'sperception.	Good point. However, we have not conducted quantitative soil loss comparisons.
Arethereferencesufficientandrecent?If youhave suggestionsofadditionalreferences,pleasement ion theminthereviewform. -	Havetoinclude certainreferences as I havegiven incomments file(citations needed)	References added according to reviewer's comment
MinorREVISIONcomments		Taken as it is (reviewers' comment)
Isthelanguage/Englishqualityofthearticlesuitabl e forscholarlycommunications?	It is good	

ReviewForm3

Optional/General comments

Reviewer Comments for 126101 1. Please include the Bench Terraces study done internationally. 2. Please include the best conservatory methods adopted, which are performing better in any part of the world. 3. Please include the average annual rainfall in the Tigray region. A map or any chart can be prepared to show the variation in water shortage (like indicating floods and droughts for at least ten years) 4. In 3.2, please change electiveness to ‘effectiveness’. 5. Kindly check there is no space between two words, in many places, please correct it 6. Please give quantitative soil loss with BTs, at least mention or cite the work done quantitatively. 7. Also, please provide the data telling BTs are better than any other SWC quantitatively, and give citations in the same area. 8. Please give what was increase in crop yield with and without BTs (provide citation). 9. Please provide the axis names in all graphs (for example percentage in y axis) 10. How do you measure BTs are performing well? Please support with quantitative values. 11. Please change the graph 3.2.15, x axis is not legible. 12. In conclusion regarding “addressing challenges related to maintenance,water access.....”, did any steps taken for this in the planning stage (so that it can be followed in execution) 13. Study area map needs world map reference, it is not clear. Resolution needs to be increased. What is the reason for selecting those areas for constructing BTs. 14. Please change the study area map so that is readable.	Revisions are done based on the reviewer's comment on the original manuscript and highlighted as yellow. International studies on bench terraces and best conservation methods included are in the introduction part. Rainfall variability in Tigray described. Electiveness changed to effectiveness. Quantitative data regarding soil loss is not available to add to the manuscript The resolution of the study are is modified Legend for x and y axis of graph 3.2.15and others modified.
--	---

ReviewForm3
PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	