

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_126644
Title of the Manuscript:	Metabolic Evaluation of acute Cadmium chloride and Restraint stress exposure in female Wistar rats.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, I like this manuscript, as it discussed the combined effect of exposure to acute Cadmium chloride and restraint stress exposure in female Wistar rats. It emphasized that exposure to both cadmium chloride and restraint stress exposure lead to exacerbation of metabolic dysfunction and dyslipidaemia.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No, it is a little bit confusing, I think it needs to be rephrased. It doesn't clarify the testing of the combined effect.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, but I suggest deleting some of the methodology details to make it more concise.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No, a (recommendation) section must be added. Additionally, more details must be added to the (results) section.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound as it introduced a detailed methodology which is practical and applicable. Also, the details were based upon previous studies and review of literature. Additionally, it has produced promising results which could be used in further studies in the future.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes.	
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No, there are a lot of grammatical mistakes that need to be reviewed and corrected.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lamis Mohamed Nader Saad
Department, University & Country	Cairo University, Egypt