

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_125133
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Diallium and Ziziphus honey in second-degree burns on wistar rats.
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =		

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	<p><b>Effects of <i>Diallium</i> and <i>Ziziphus</i> honey in second-degree burns on wistar rats.</b> Review feedback 1. I hope you have already the list of authors and affiliations</p> <p><b>Abstract</b> 2. Methodology: <b>In order to cause experimental burns.</b> This sentence looks uncomplete. I suggest you link it with the previous or the next sentence</p> <p><b>Statistical analysis</b> 3. GraphPad 10.0 software were used to for analyses</p> <p>The software <b>was</b> used The software was used for analyses. Please delete to</p> <p><b>Results</b> 4. Fig: 2: Why you have started by b? Where is the image a? If you do not have image a, please label a, b, c and d.</p> <p><b>Evolution of deep second-degree experimental burn scores after treatment with the <i>Diallium</i> and <i>Ziziphus</i> honeys.</b> 5. Figure 2 shows the score evolution curves for each group</p> <p>In your figure about score evolution curves, it is figure <b>4</b> and not 2 6. Anywhere in your results, you have mentioned the Fig3. Please talk about that.</p> <p><b>Discussion</b> 7. Line 6: burn <b>did not</b> heal after four weeks and burn did'nt heal after four weeks 8. References 21 and 22. Please add the name of author before the reference at the end of sentence. Example According to Postmes TJ <i>et al.</i>, the primary factors that facilitate burn healing are the high tonicity and acidic pH of honey [22]. 9. Please do the same for reference 24.</p> <p>10. Before the reference 31 the phrase in vivo should be in italic: <b><i>in vivo</i></b></p> <p>11. Last sentence of discussion: <b>Other authors</b> or <b>other works</b> is better than Other writers</p> <p><b>Conclusion</b> 12. You did not investigate on what was responsible of fully repaired tissue in DZ. So, you can not affirm it as said in the third sentence in your conclusion.</p> <p><b>References</b> 12: It looks that your reference was done manually. Please generate it automatically using Mendeley which is a free software.</p> <p><b>Other comments</b> - Please write something about the conflict of interest - Please also thank the sponsor allowing you to do this work.</p>	

Review Form 3

	The topic is interesting due to the practice of traditional medicine by most of the population in the developing countries. The animal study is also essential because it is a pre-clinical study. Good luck according to the decision of the auditor.	
--	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sibidou Yougbare
Department, University & Country	Institut de Recherche en Sciences de la Santé, Unité de Recherche Clinique de Nanoro, Burkina Faso