Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_125133
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Diallium and Ziziphus honey in second-degree burns on wistar rats.
Type of the Article	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compularity DEVICION comments	Daviouse's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that
Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
		his/her feedback here)
Diagon write a few contents a very relief the importance of this	Manusavint is good	Tils/fier reedback fiere)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or	Manuscript is good.	
	Therapy with naturally available substances will be cost effective and easily	
dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be	available.	
required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable?	yes	
(If not please suggest an alternative title)		
(ii iiet pisass saggest air aiternaire aire)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest	Permission from animal ethics committee must be added. Conclusion is not	
the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section?	properly written	
Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate:	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific	This research is correct with proper statistical analysis.	
correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this	Sampling and method are also appropriate.	
manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A	Conclusion is also good	
minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Generalism is also getti	
,,,,,,		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have	yes	
suggestions of additional references, please mention them in		
the review form.		
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for	yes	
scholarly communications?		
Series y Communications		
Optional/General comments		
- Parental Political		
	In 3.1.1 section 5 th line score is mentioned wrong.	
	Sulfadiazine is taken as control. Group treated with honey should be compared with	
	control group.	
	Control group.	
	l	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gedela Vasavi
Department, University & Country	ASRAMS Medical College, India

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)