

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Medicine and Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_126862
Title of the Manuscript:	Maternal and Foetal Outcomes in Full-Term Pregnancies with Abnormal Amniotic Fluid Index
Type of the Article	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>The article does not throw light on the extremes of abnormal AFI used. Whether trial of labour was given in a woman with AFI less than 4cm is not mentioned.</p> <p>Polyhydramnios also has a severe variety of AFI &gt;40cm. Such inclusions whether made or not can be clarified. The article overall is well written with good command of English.</p> <p>The abstract is precise and to the point.</p> <p><b>It can be useful in predicting the mode of delivery by which minimum stress can be incurred upon the baby in utero.</b></p> <p><b>Abnormal AFI findings are very common amongst term women. It can help formulate a protocol</b></p>	The information about the extremes of abnormal AFI has now been added in introduction. Also abstract has been modified.
Is the title of the article suitable? Yes (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<u>Abnormal AFI and its implications on fetomaternal outcome</u>	Title changed as per suggestion
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<u>The abstract is precise. It highlights the essential points in the article. The doubts arising in the abstract are resolved on reading the full article.</u>	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<u>Yes, appropriate</u>	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p><u>Abnormal AFI can be a predictor of fetal wellbeing in the antenatal period. Depending upon the AFI, mode of delivery is planned.</u></p> <p><u>Severe oligohydramnios and polyhydramnios are not subject to trial of labour as they may result in fetal distress and cord prolapse, respectively.</u></p> <p><u>This article highlights the importance of measuring AFI and the impact of abnormal AFI in a pregnant woman. It also touches upon the associated problems with abnormal AFI.</u></p>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. Sufficient -		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English language used in the article is good and stored for scholarly communication.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Nil	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	