

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_126511
Title of the Manuscript:	Social Media Addiction and ESL Learners' Motivation as Mediated by Academic Achievement Goal Orientation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript significantly contributes to the scientific community by exploring the complex relationships between social media addiction, motivation, and academic achievement goal orientation among English as a Second Language (ESL) learners. Understanding these relationships is crucial in contemporary education, especially considering the increasing use of digital platforms among students. The research provides valuable insights that can assist educators and policymakers in enhancing motivation and academic success among learners. I also appreciate the interdisciplinary approach that integrates psychological and educational theories, making the study more comprehensive and relevant.	Thank you.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article adequately reflects the main themes of the research. However, I suggest a more concise title, such as: "Mediating Effects of Academic Achievement Goal Orientation on Social Media Addiction and Motivation Among ESL Learners."	Hello, I appreciate this comment. However, if I may ask, is it possible to change the title even if the paper was already done and has went through multiple defenses such as Title Defense, Outline Defense and Final Defense?
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive and provides a good overview of the research question, methodology, and key findings. However, it would be beneficial to include more information about the specific methodological approaches used in the study, as well as the potential implications of the findings for educational practices.	Done. Revised.
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure of the manuscript is clear and logical, with subsections appropriately organized, facilitating easier tracking and understanding of the research findings. However, I recommend adding subheadings within the methodology section to further delineate participant demographics, data collection methods, and analysis procedures.	Done. Revised.
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically robust, employing a well-defined research design and appropriate statistical analyses. The use of mediation analysis to explore the relationships between the variables is particularly noteworthy, as it adds depth to the findings. Furthermore, the grounding in established theories such as Dörnyei's Motivational Self-System and Achievement Goal Theory supports the theoretical framework of the study. The methodology is transparent, with a clear explanation of the sampling process and validation of the instruments used.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	The references are adequate and relevant to the focus of the study, but it would be helpful to add more recent studies related to social media addiction and its impact on learning outcomes, particularly in the context of ESL education. I recommend including works published in the last five years to ensure the research is grounded in the most current literature.	Done. Revised. Please see reference section (some are highlighted in yellow)

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The quality of language is satisfactory for academic communication; however, attention should be paid to style and clarity of expression to further enhance readability. Some sentences are lengthy and could be broken down for better understanding.	Done. Revised
Optional/General comments	Overall, the manuscript provides significant contributions to understanding the impact of social media on student motivation and academic orientation. I recommend minor improvements in structure and language, but I believe the manuscript has the potential for publication. Additionally, the authors should consider expanding the discussion on the practical implications of their findings and potential future research directions that could further illuminate this issue.	Done. Revised

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	