

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_126075
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYSICO-CHEMICAL AND SENSORY ATTRIBUTES OF BREAD PRODUCED FROM WHEAT, CABBAGE AND CATERPILLAR INSECT FLOURS
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The present manuscript may contribute to the scientific community by investigating the effect of substituting wheat flour with alternative flours i.e., caterpillar and cabbage flour on the proximate, physical, and sensory properties of the bread. However, this manuscript needs major revisions to be consider for publication as it seems that it was submitted in a hurry without proofreading and removing the basic errors.	Okay
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I suggest rewriting it more concise. Also, it should start with a sentence stating today's needs of utilizing alternative flours in product development.	Noted
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Overall, the theme of the study sound well in today's needs of developing functional foods to overcome the malnutrition and nutrition related deficiencies in developing world.	Done as suggested
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Most of the references used are very old and need to be updated.	
Minor REVISION comments	No. The manuscript needs to be rechecked for grammatical errors.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Overall, the theme of the manuscript sounds good but after thoroughly checking the article it was observed by me that the authors submitted the manuscript without removing the basic errors and the paper needs major revisions to be consider for publication.	Noted

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	