

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_121529
Title of the Manuscript:	Proximate Analysis, Minerals and Sensory Evaluation of Breakfast Cereal made from Acha enriched with Soybeans and Sesame Seed Flour.
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Multigrain breakfast is the order of the day across the globe. The nutritional utility of Acha with two other grains were tried with four combinations. Protein and fibre among the proximates and iron and zinc among the minerals are important for human diet.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Ok.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Nil.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	OK.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	AOAC methods are widely used across the world for various day to day analysis. Popular SPSS software was used for data analysis.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Ok.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Lot of repetitions are there in the manuscript. Above 50% length of the manuscript can be decreased. Most of the methods used in the study are routine, just providing their reference is enough instead of elaborate presentation. Indicated in the form of comments in the manuscript. Statistical significance is possible with the software, but, the figures appear similar for the four breakfast compositions. Acha production in the targeted region or country needs to be mentioned. Acha is local what about Soya and Sesame? Are they not produced in the targeted region or country? All these annual production and consumption details elevates the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	I couldnot enjoy reviewing this manuscript. Basic mistakes were done. Scientific names were not italicized. Lot of repetitions were there. Guidance is lacking.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	D. Sanjeeva Rao
Department, University & Country	ICAR-IIRR, India