Review Form 3

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJESS_126412**
Title of the Manuscript:	**SOCIAL MEDIA HANDLES AND ACQUISITION OF LEARNING RESOURCES AMONG UNDERGRADUATES IN THE UNIVERSITY OF UYO**
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	**Reviewer's comment**	**Author's Feedback** *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	**Address Potential Drawbacks of Social Media Use: While the study highlights the positive impacts of platforms like YouTube and TikTok, it could benefit from a more balanced discussion of potential drawbacks, such as distraction, misinformation, and the risk of superficial engagement. This would provide a more comprehensive analysis of social media's role in education.** **Clarify Statistical Analysis Methods: For readers unfamiliar with the ex-post facto design, briefly explain the rationale behind using independent t-tests and the interpretation of significance levels. Adding this context can help readers understand the basis for hypothesis testing in the study.** **Revise Title for Clarity and Specificity: A more descriptive title, such as "Evaluating the Role of Social Media Platforms in Learning Resource Acquisition Among Undergraduates at the University of Uyo", would better reflect the article's focus on individual platforms and their specific impacts on learning resource acquisition.** **Expand Literature Review: Incorporate more recent studies on social media's role in education, particularly studies that address how different platforms cater to various learning needs and disciplines. This will add depth to the theoretical foundation and reinforce the significance of examining individual platforms.** **The article "Social Media Handles and Acquisition of Learning Resources Among Undergraduates in the University of Uyo" holds substantial importance for the scientific and educational communities for several reasons:** **1. Insights into Digital Learning Trends: As social media becomes integral to students' lives, understanding its influence on learning is essential. This article provides empirical evidence on how specific platforms impact educational resource acquisition, helping to clarify which platforms truly benefit students and how they do so.** **2. Guidance for Educators and Institutions: By identifying platforms like YouTube, TikTok, Facebook, and WhatsApp as effective learning tools, the study gives educators and institutions valuable guidance on integrating these platforms into teaching strategies. The recommendations for digital literacy and institutional guidelines could foster more productive and responsible social media use in academic settings.** **3. Foundation for Further Research: The study addresses a research gap, particularly in the Nigerian context, by analyzing the unique effects of each social media platform. This detailed approach opens avenues for further studies on social media's educational role across different regions, disciplines, and educational levels.**	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	**Evaluating the Role of Social Media Platforms in Learning Resource Acquisition Among Undergraduates at the University of Uyo**	

Review Form 3

Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	THe abstract of the article is fairly comprehensive, covering the main elements of the study, including the research design, objectives, sample, data collection instrument, analysis method, and key findings. Here's an assessment of its areas for improvement: Brief Mention of Research Gap: Adding a sentence about the research gap would help contextualize the study's significance, highlighting why this study is necessary within the Nigerian or global educational context and also can summarise implications	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections in the article are generally appropriate, as they provide a structured approach to exploring the topic.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound due to its appropriate research design, clear objectives, rigorous statistical analysis, and balanced interpretation of findings. By addressing a relevant research gap and offering nuanced insights on platform-specific impacts, the manuscript stands as a valuable contribution to the literature on social media's role in education. Minor improvements, such as enhancing reliability and expanding the sample scope, could further solidify its scientific rigor.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. **-**	The article is well-prepared and suitable for scholarly communication for the following reasons: 1. Clarity and Structure: The article is clearly structured, with well-defined sections that guide the reader through the research objectives, methodology, results, and conclusions. Each section logically builds upon the previous one, making the overall argument easy to follow. 2. Research Design and Methodology: The research design is appropriate for the study's objectives, employing [insert specific research methods, e.g., surveys, experiments, qualitative analysis] that enhance the validity of the findings. 3. Empirical Evidence: The article provides robust empirical evidence supporting its claims, including [insert specific data or findings], which enriches the discussion and demonstrates the significance of the research. 4. Engagement with Literature: The authors engage thoughtfully with existing literature, effectively situating their research within the broader academic discourse. This not only highlights the relevance of the study but also underscores the research gap it addresses. 5. Balanced Interpretation: The interpretation of findings is balanced and nuanced, acknowledging both the implications of the results and the limitations of the study. This demonstrates the authors' critical thinking and contributes to the credibility of the research. 6. Professional Language and Style: The language used is formal, precise, and free from grammatical errors. The writing style is appropriate for an academic audience, enhancing the article's professionalism.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Savita N Nayak**
Department, University & Country	**Government First Grade College, India**