

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_126412
Title of the Manuscript:	SOCIAL MEDIA HANDLES AND ACQUISITION OF LEARNING RESOURCES AMONG UNDERGRADUATES IN THE UNIVERSITY OF UYO
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript holds significance for the scientific community as it explores the critical intersection of social media and education, a growing area of interest given the digital shift in learning. By examining the influence of specific social media platforms on undergraduates' acquisition of learning resources, it offers valuable insights into how digital platforms contribute to or hinder academic resource gathering, especially in higher education contexts.	Okay
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current title, "Social Media Handles and Acquisition of Learning Resources Among Undergraduates in the University of Uyo," is clear but could be refined for greater specificity and impact. A suggested alternative might be:  "Impact of Social Media Platforms on Learning Resource Acquisition Among Undergraduates at the University of Uyo"	Noted
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is largely comprehensive, covering the study's objectives, methodology, findings, and recommendations.	Done
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of your manuscript appear to be generally well-organized, but a few adjustments could enhance readability and logical flow.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness and technical soundness through a well-defined methodology and rigorous data analysis. Additionally, the literature review is comprehensive and aligns with current research trends, providing a strong theoretical framework that supports the study's objectives. The manuscript also follows systematic data collection and analysis protocols, which contribute to its reliability and reproducibility, making it a scientifically valid contribution to the field.	Done
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references in the manuscript are mostly sufficient and provide foundational support for the study's premise.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality of the article is generally suitable for scholarly communication, with clear articulation of ideas and a logical flow.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	