Review Form 3

Journal Name:	**Advances in Research**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AIR_125689**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Analysis of the scientific production on intestinal dysbiosis in dogs**
Type of the Article	**A meta-analysis/Scientometric study**

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	**Reviewer's comment**	**Author's Feedback** *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	**Indeed, a novel type of research article that quantifies the publications in a particular field, on a particular problem. It would be helpful for researchers to prioritize the research areas in their locations. Further, the strengths of various countries in research on the selected topic can be ascertained and the impact of journals can also be assessed. There are more obvious reasons to accept this manuscript for publication.**	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	**The title is quite appropriate**	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	**The abstract is comprehensive, does convey the overall research work carried out.**	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	**The sub-sections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. Yet, the major research outcomes on intestinal dysbiosis in dogs could have been included.**	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	**The manuscript is scientifically robust as the researchers have followed scientific methods for analysis. The scientometric analysis has been done as per the latest protocols with proper anlysis by appropriate software. The results have been recorded meticulously, yet they could have been presented with good illustrations like tables & graphs.**	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	**The references are sufficient; range from 2016 to 2024. Some of those articles which formed the basis for the study may be included.**	
Minor REVISION comments **Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	The language is suitable for scholarly communication	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is fit for publication	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Ravikumar Puttamallappa**
Department, University & Country	**Veterinary College, Karnataka Veterinary Animal & Fisheries Sciences University, India**