

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_125689
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of the scientific production on intestinal dysbiosis in dogs
Type of the Article	Review article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I like this article because it allows to have an overview (general) on the work done on dog dysbiosis. It also allows to know the authors and countries most active on dysbiosis. It may help better guide future research on dysbiosis	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is appropriate	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract can specify the breed of dog that was the subject of the maximum number of studies.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections and structure of the manuscript ar appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is the result of a synthesis of scientific research conducted on dog dysbiosis. The synthesis was well done and according to a very coherent methodology. The last interesting point is the specificity of the articles retained after filtering.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This manuscript is of great importance to the scientific community. It provides a general overview of dysbiosis research worldwide and allows researchers to focus on aspects not covered in previous work.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Oumar Ouattara
Department, University & Country	University of Segou, Mali