# **Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_126127
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutritional and Bioactive properties of composite flour made from hempseed and corn silk for formulation of fibre and protein rich paratha
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

# **Review Form 3**

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that
manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.  Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition for deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.  Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition for deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.  In abstract, if possible, please write the ANOVA i.e CCD or CCRD used and numbers of experiment. CCD- Central Composite Design CCRD- Central Composite Design CCRD- Central Composite Rotatable Design CCRD- Central Composite Rotatable Design  Yes Correct  Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.  Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for yes			part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section?  Please write your suggestions here.  In abstract, if possible, please write the ANOVA i.e CCD or CCRD used and numbers of experiment. CCD- Central Composite Design CCRD- Central Composite Rotatable Design  Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.  Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.  Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for  Yes	manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	home so the incorporation of corn silk and hemp seed give nutritional benefits (bioactive compounds) to society and providing the scientific data for researchers and value addition. The manuscript is like because of all the properties are study properly and results shows	
the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section?  Please write your suggestions here.  Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.  Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for  Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  Yes Correct  The manuscript is scientifically and technically is correct because the topic choose wisely, variables are different as per topic requirement. Scientifically the data analysis is done and that shows in result and technically the ANOVA use correctly.  Yes Correct  Yes Correct  The manuscript is scientifically the data analysis is done and that shows in result and technically the ANOVA use correctly.  Yes Correct		Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.  Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.  Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for  The manuscript is scientifically and technically is correct because the topic choose wisely, variables are different as per topic requirement. Scientifically the data analysis is done and that shows in result and technically the ANOVA use correctly.  Yes Correct  Yes	the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section?	experiment. CCD- Central Composite Design	
correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.  Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes Correct	
suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A	variables are different as per topic requirement. Scientifically the data analysis is done and	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for Yes	suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes Correct	
	Minor REVISION comments		
		Yes	
Optional/General comments  No	Optional/General comments	No	

# PART 2:

		<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

# **Review Form 3**

# **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Nikhil Digambar Solanke
Department, University & Country	Govt. College of Food Technology, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Agriculture University, India

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)