Original Research Article

Nutritional and Bioactive properties of composite flour made from hempseed and corn silk for formulation of fibre and protein rich *paratha*

ABSTRACT

Aims: This study aims to analyze Nutritional and Bioactive properties of a novel composite flour and *paratha* made from it, developed by incorporating hemp seed flour, corn silk flour, and chickpea flour alongside wheat flour, targeting the creation of a high-protein and high-fiber flour blend for food product formulations.
Study design: A randomized experimental study was conducted to evaluate the functional properties and nutritional profile of the developed composite flour and *paratha* taking whole wheat flour as Control.
Place and Duration of Study: Department of Food Science and Nutrition, G. B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, between August 2019 and March 2023.
Methodology: Various ratios of hemp seed, corn silk, and chickpea flours were blended with whole wheat flour to create the composite flour. *Paratha*, a common shallow fried Indian flatbread, was prepared from the blend. Sensory evaluation of the *paratha* was conducted to find in addition to analyses of functional properties, proximate composition, dietary fiber content, in vitro protein digestibility, bioactive compounds, and fatty acid profiles of both flour blend and *paratha*.
Results: The composite flour demonstrated a higher water absorption capacity (179.63 ml/100g) than whole wheat flour (147.67 ml/100g). Proximate analysis revealed a crude protein content of 17.06% and crude fiber content of 7.81% in the composite flour, significantly exceeding that of whole wheat flour. Total dietary fiber was recorded at 21.37 g/100g, with an in vitro protein digestibility of 76.70%. The total phenolic content was markedly higher in composite flour (223.51 mg GAE/100g) compared to whole wheat flour (49.82 mg GAE/100g). Sensory evaluation results indicated that the *parathas* made from composite flour had acceptable taste and texture with superior nutritional profile.
CONCLUSION: The study successfully developed a composite flour with superior nutritional attributes, highlighting the potential of incorporating wild and underutilized plant resources in sustainable food production. This novel composite flour can be a valuable addition to diets, offering enhanced protein, fiber, and bioactive compounds compared to traditional wheat flour, particularly in the preparation of nutritious *parathas*.

Keywords: Hempseed, Corn silk, Functional Properties, Bioactive Compounds, Nutritional Quality, In vitro protein digestibility, Composite Flour, Paratha

1. INTRODUCTION

Nature encompasses a vast array of edible plants, from the unassuming dandelion to the majestic pine nut. The wild flora represents a vast and largely untapped resource, offering a unique opportunity to integrate regenerative agriculture principles into food production. Unlike cultivated crops, wild plants often require minimal intervention and can be harnessed to create value-added products.

Formulation of composite flour is one of the methods that can utilize both waste agri produce and wild vegetation. Instead of relying solely on wheat, use of composite or mixed flours is more sustainable option. These flours are a combination of starches and other ingredients to partially replace wheat flour. According to the definition, "Composite flours are a mixture of flours from tubers rich in starch (e.g., cassava, yam, sweet potato) and/or protein-rich flours (e.g., soy, peanut) and/or cereals (e.g., maize, rice, millet, buckwheat), with or without wheat flour" [1]. They can be in blends of two or three types of flours (binary or ternary mixtures) made from various crops like soybean, gram, cassava, and others.

Composite flours offer a sustainable approach to food production by utilizing underutilized resources and agri-waste. This study investigates the development of a novel composite flour by incorporating hemp seed flour, corn silk flour, and chickpea flour alongside wheat flour. An effort has been made to develop high protein and high fibre composite flour using these ingredients where hempseed and chickpea flour is for protein and corn silk powder is for fibre.

Hemp botanically known as *Cannabis sativa L*, is an annual plant. In India, it grows in wild area on its own, particularly in barren lands. In hilly regions, its seeds are consumed mostly as *chutney* or powder during winter season. However, in other parts of the country it is grown for pharmaceutical and narcotic purposes with the permission of government of India. The Indian Industrial Hemp Association (IIHA) received a license for the State Government of Uttarakhand in 2018 to cultivate hemp varieties with THC level of less than 0.3%. Hempseed typically contains 20.40 percent protein and 27 percent fiber, insoluble fiber-rich carbohydrates (16.87%), polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) and abundant fat (28.70%) [2].

Corn hair, also known as corn silk, is a byproduct of corn agriculture, consisting of the stigmas from the female flowers of the maize plant. It is characterized by its silky, thin, yellowish threads and a mildly sweet taste. Sweet corn silk contains considerable crude protein (16.19%) and crude fiber (16.11%). Dried corn silk powder contains phytochemicals, dietary fiber along with bioactive components like phenols, flavonoids, and antioxidants, which contribute significantly to human health [3].

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum L.*) stands as the primary legume crop in the diets of individuals across various regions worldwide, notably in African and Asian countries. Its dry seeds are predominantly consumed owing to their rich nutritional profile, particularly their protein content. Chickpeas serve as an exceptional nutrient source with 17%–22% protein, 3.82% crude fiber, they typically contain higher levels of zinc, phosphorus, and manganese compared to other legumes [4].

This research aims at development of novel composite flour for food product formulations. It also anticipates development of common food product (*paratha*) from different ratios of ingredients in composite flour and comparative analysis between product made from composite flour and whole wheat flour based on nutritional parameters. Following sensory evaluation of a product developed from the flour, the proximate analysis of the chosen blend of flour as well as the product is conducted. Additionally, dietary fibre, mineral composition, and bioactive compounds such as: Total Phenol Content and DPPH % radical scavenging activity, in vitro protein digestibility and fatty acids content were analyzed.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

In the development of high-protein and high-fibre food products, a novel flour blend incorporating hemp seed flour, chickpea flour, and corn silk powder was explored for its potential integration into whole wheat flour. It was hypothesized that these flours could significantly increase the nutritional profile without compromising sensory attributes. Four formulations were evaluated as shown in Table 1 one of which was control (100% whole wheat flour) along with three blends with different ratios of the ingredients.

A common product namely, *Paratha* (unleavened shallow fried bread with smear of fat) was made from each of the flour blend and ingredients by mixing with water, and the dough was kneaded by hand to achieve a uniform consistency. The dough was then covered with a cotton cloth and left to rest for half an hour. After resting, the dough was divided into smaller pieces of equal size. Each piece was rolled out into a thin cake, smeared with oil, and folded twice to form a triangle. The resulting dough triangles were shallow fried on a heated pan for about five minutes. A semi-trained panel scored the sensory characters of all the products across all the categories using the score card [5] and scores were analysed using statistical test of one-way ANOVA.

Ingredients	Control	Blend 1	Blend 2	Blend 3
Wheatflour(g)	100	60	50	40
Hempseedflour(g)	-	15	20	25
Chickpeaflour(g)	-	15	20	25
Cornsilkpowder(g)	-	10	10	10
Water(ml)	80	70	70	70
Oil(ml)	8	5	5	5
Salt(g)	1	1	1	1
Spices(g) (Carom & Niger seeds)	2	2	2	2
Totalcookedweight (g)	158	156	154	154
No.ofservings	4	4	4	4
Weightperserving(g)	39.5	39	38.5	38.5

Table 1: List of ingredients for *Paratha*

Functional properties of selected flour blend were determined including water absorption capacity and oil absorption capacity using the method given by Lin et al. [6]. Bulk density was determined by method given by Narain et al [7].

Proximate composition of the product and the selected blend of composite flour were determined using the AOAC standard technique [8]. The dietary fibre was estimated using the method given by (Asp and Johansson, 1981) [9**Error! Reference source not found.**]. In vitro protein digestibility was estimated by the procedure given by (Akeson and Stahman 1964) [10]. Total phenolic content (TPC) was determined using Folin-Ciocalteu's reagent as reported by (Singleton, et al., 1999) [11]. The total antioxidant activity was determined by DPPH (2.2-Diphenyl-1- picrylhydrazyl) radical scavenging activity (Williams et al., 1995) [12]. α-tocopherol content in composite flour was analysed using method of Emmerie and Engel (1938) [13].

The fatty acid composition was conducted at Pt. Deen Dayal Upadhyaya Veterinary Science University and Cattle Research Institute, Uttar Pradesh, India. A 2-gram composite flour sample was digested with 10 mL concentrated HCl and 5-10 mL liquid ammonia in a hot water bath at 85°C for 1-1.5 hours. After cooling, 50 mL petroleum ether and 5-10 mL ethanol were added. The mixture was transferred to a separating funnel, shaken, and washed with salt water to isolate the fat layer. The fat was mixed with 2 mL hexane, centrifuged, and stored in vials. The samples were analyzed for fatty acid profile using gas chromatography (Shimadzu CG-2014) with a capillary column (SH-RTX 2560).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Sensory evaluation of fresh *paratha* was done using score card method (Table 2) using one way ANOVA. It came out that control was significantly different and statistically classified as very good. Blend 1 and Blend 2 were statistically same, however Blend 3 is different in taste, flavour and overall acceptability. Blend 2 with higher proportion of hemp seed was selected for further analysis. Laboratory analysis was done on Blend 2 flour and *paratha* and whole wheat flour as control.

Table 2: Meanscores fororganolepticacceptabilityof*Paratha*(n=30)

Parameters (*Paratha*)	Control (C)	Blend1 (B1)	Blend2 (B2)	Blend3 (B3)	CD (p□0.05)
Colour	9.01±0.63	8.11±0.63	8.43±0.73	8.33±0.64	0.338
Taste	8.68±0.64	8.04±0.87	8.25±0.99	7.45±1.05	0.459
Texture	8.85±0.73	7.96±0.69	8.11±0.70	7.81±0.82	0.374
Flavour	8.6±0.74	7.88±0.94	8±0.95	7.3±0.81	0.440
Overall acceptability	8.76±0.62	8.05±0.86	8.25±0.87	7.61±0.79	0.402

Valuesaremean□standarddeviationofthirtyobservations
C:100:00:00:00;B1:60:15:15:10;B2:50:20:20:10;B3:40:25:25:10
Pointscale:1-2verypoor,3-4poor,5-6fair,7-8good,9-10very good

3.1 Functional Properties of Composite Flour

The water absorption capacity of composite flour was determined to be 179.63 ml/100g which is higher than that of whole wheat flour (147.67 ml/100g). A high water absorption capacity (WAC) of composite flours indicates that combining different flours can be beneficial in creating a variety of foods like dairy free processed cheese, bakery items, sausages, and dough. Flours with high water absorption are likely to contain more hydrophilic components such as polysaccharides. Chandra et al. [1] observed that the water absorption capacity varied from 132 to 176% for composite flour, suggesting that the addition of rice, green gram, and potato flour influenced the amount of water absorbed by wheat flour. Singh et al. [14] also observed that dough containing soy flour exhibited increased water absorption, likely due to the higher soluble protein content and water-binding properties of soy flour.

The study measured the Oil Absorption Capacity (OAC) of the composite flour, finding it to be 99.15 ml/100 grams.The OAC of whole wheat flour was found to be 125.44 ml/100g. This ability to absorb oil can be lower due to proteins and fats. Plantain flour absorbs the most oil, at 129.73 ml/100g, while tiger nut flour absorbs the least, at 71.62 mg/100g [15]. The presence of high-fat content in flours might have affected the oil absorption capacity (OAC) of the composite flours adversely [16]. In this case the fat content of hempseed (28.7%) can be responsible for decreased OAC in composite flour [3].

The bulk density of the composite flour was 0.77 g/ml which was more than the bulk density of whole wheat flour of 0.72 g/ml. High bulk density requires less storage and transport volume. Bulk density of composite flour increased with an increase in the incorporation of different flours with wheat flour. Du et al. [17] examined the bulk density of whole flours from various legumes. The findings indicated that the bulk density of these legume flours ranged from 0.543 g/ml to 0.816 g/ml, with lentil flour having the highest bulk density and black bean flour having the lowest.

Table 3: Functionalpropertiesofwholewheatflourandcomposite flour

Flourproperties	WWF	CF	
Waterabsorptioncapacity(ml/g)	147.67±1.91	179.63±1.23	**(p<0.05)**
Oilabsorptioncapacity(ml/g)	125.44±0.59	99.15±0.65	
Bulkdensity (g/ml)	0.72±0.01	0.77±0.01	

Allvaluesaremean± standarddeviation
ofthreereplicates WWF: Whole Wheat Flour,
CF: Composite Flour

3.2 Proximate Composition of Composite Flour

Table 4 depicts proximate composition of the composite flour the moisture content of composite flour was found to be 6.42 per cent whereas for whole wheat flour, the moisture content was found to be 10.85%. A significant difference ($p<0.05$) was observed among the moisture values of whole wheat flour and composite flour.

For composite flour, the total ash content was determined to be 3.48% whereas whole wheat flour exhibited a total ash content of 1.92%, slightly higher than the 1.33% reported by Bhat et al. [18]. This highlights the superior mineral profile of composite flour than that of wheat flour.

Composite flour, with 17.06% crude protein, was identified as a good protein source as it has more than 10% of RDA per 100 g for solids. Kulkarni and Sakhale [19] found 10.02% protein content in millet-based multigrain flour. Whole wheat flour's crude protein content was found to be 11.46%, which is higher than the 9.8% reported by Akubor and Badifu [20]. For composite flour, the crude fat content was 7.30%.
For composite flour, the high crude fat content (7.30±0.18) in composite flour can be attributed to the significant oil content in hemp seeds. Whole wheat flour was found to have a crude fat content of 2.16%. The present study found a significant difference ($p<0.05$) in crude fat content between whole wheat flour and composite flour.
The crude fiber content in composite flour was found to be 7.81%,.Whole wheat flour was observed to have a crude fiber content of 3.20%. Millet-based multigrain flour with varying millet ratios had crude fiber content ranging from 1.80% to 3.10% [19]. The inclusion of whole hemp seed flour, chickpea flour, and corn silk powder in the composite flour contributed to its higher crude fiber content compared to whole wheat flour. The present study found a significant difference ($p<0.05$) in crude fiber content between the whole wheat flour and composite flour. With this findings the composite flour can be claimed as high fibre food product [21].
The carbohydrate content of composite flour was estimated to be 64.25%. Whole wheat flour was found to have a carbohydrate content of 81.26%. Kulkarni and Sakhale [19] found higher carbohydrate values at 71.40% for millet-based multigrain flour. A significant difference ($p<0.05$) was observed in the carbohydrate content between the two samples of composite flour and wheat flour.
The physiological energy content of whole wheat flour was found to be 390 Kcal/100g, which is significantly higher than the 372.90 Kcal/100g reported in literature [**Error! Reference source not found.**0].

Table 4: **Nutritional and anti-oxidant composition of composite flour and whole wheat flour**

Parameters	Composite Flour	Whole Wheat Flour	CD (P=0.05)
Moisture(%)	6.42±0.38	10.85±0.13	
Totalash (%)	3.48±0.08	1.92±0.06	
Crudeprotein(%)	17.06±0.53	11.46±0.32	
Crudefat(%)	7.30±0.18	2.16±0.24	NS
Crudefibre(%)	7.81±0.27	3.20±0.27	
Carbohydrate(%)	64.25±0.16	81.26±0.86	
Physiologicalenergy(Kcal/100g)	391±1.35	390±0.06	
Solubledietaryfibre(g/100g)	2.78±0.10	1.41±0.13	
Insolubledietaryfibre(g/100g)	18.58±0.70	9.16±0.18	
Totaldietaryfibre(g/100g)	21.37±0.79	10.58±0.31	(p=0.05)
Totalphenolcontent(mg GAE/100g)	223.51±0.0.87	26.82±0.33	
DPPH% inhibition	75.24±0.07	36.32±0.79	

Allvaluesaremean±standarddeviationofthreereplicatesondryweightbasis NS: non-significant; $p<0.05$: significantly different

3.3 Dietary Fibre Content of Composite Flour

A significant difference ($p<0.05$) was found in the total dietary fiber (TDF) values of whole wheat flour and composite flour. In the present study, the TDF concentration for composite flour was determined to be 21.37 g/100g which is more than double the value. The TDF content in whole wheat flour was 10.58 g/100g, which is less than the values 11.36 g/100g reported by Longvah et al. [22]. Whole wheat flour's soluble dietary fiber (SDF) level was 1.41 g/100g, consistent with the 1.40 g/100g found by Ragaee et al. [23]. The insoluble dietary fiber (IDF) content in whole wheat flour was 9.16 g/100g.

3.4 In Vitro Protein Digestibility (IVPD) of Composite Flour

The present study, the IVPD for composite flour was found to be 76.70%.The calculated IVPD value for whole wheat flour is 71.11%. Pradeep et al. [24] reported an IVPD of 87% for a millet and legume-based multigrain ready-to-eat snack mix. The lower IVPD value for composite flour compared to some other reported values can be attributed to its higher dietary fiber content. The present investigation reported 21.37 g/100g of dietary fiber composite flour compared to 11.36 g/100g in whole wheat flour. Higher dietary fiber content is linked to obstructed protein hydrolysis because dietary fiber can increase the viscosity of digestive tract contents, preventing hydrolytic enzymes from accessing their substrates for hydrolysis, thereby lowering protein digestibility. However, the protein in hemp seed is primarily composed of high-quality, readily digestible proteins called edestin and albumin, which are rich in essential amino acids. This composition makes hemp seed protein suitable for consumption by both humans and animals [25].

3.5 Bioactive Compounds of Composite Flour

In the composite flour, the Total Phenolic Content (TPC) was found to be significantly higher at 223.51 mg GAE/100g. In the present study, TPC of whole wheat flour was measured at 49.82 mg GAE/100g. In contrast, Li et al. [26] reported a substantially higher TPC value of 74.47 mg GAE/100g for whole wheat flour.

Composite flour exhibited a significantly higher DPPH inhibition of 75.24%, similar to the range of 75.2% to 86.2% reported by Itagi and Singh [27] for millet-based multigrain composite mixes. In contrast, wheat flour showed a DPPH inhibition of 36.32%.

3.6 Fatty Acid Profile of Composite Flour

Table 5presents the analysis of fatty acids in composite flour that revealedthe presence of two saturated fatty acids (methyl stearate and methyl arachidate), one monounsaturated fatty acid (methyl cis-10-pentadecenoate), four polyunsaturated fatty acids, and one trans fatty acid were identified. The total fatty acid content in composite flour was found to be 7.8%. This included 0.24% saturated fatty acids, 0.74% monounsaturated fatty acids, and 5.46% polyunsaturated fatty acids. Additionally, 1.33% trans-fat was present. Omega-3 fatty acids were not detected, whereas omega-6 fatty acids constituted 5.46% of the total fatty acids.

The primary difference between composite flour and wheat flour lies in the diversity and concentration of fatty acids. Wheat cultivars contain a higher proportion of saturated and monounsaturated fatty acids compared to composite flour. The fatty acid profile of wheat cultivars, as analyzed by Zengin et al.[28], revealed the presence of 20 individual fatty acids, ranging from C 10:0 to C 21:0. There was variation among the wheat cultivars in the total content of saturated fatty acids (SFA), which ranged from 37.76% to 45.20%, monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA) from 18.33% to 22.03%, and polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) from 32.77% to 43.41%. The dominant fatty acids in wheat are more concentrated, with oleic and linoleic acids being particularly prevalent. On the other hand, composite flour has a more varied profile with a higher proportion of polyunsaturated fatty acids and a notable presence of trans fats.

Table 5: Typeandamountofdifferentfattyacidsin composite flour

S No.	Name	Type	Area (in%)
1.	MethylPentadeccenoate	MUFA	9.587
2.	MethylLinolenate	PUFA	70.108
3.	MethylEicosadienoatecis11,14		
4.	cis-13,16-Docosadienoicacidmethylester		
5.	MethylLinoleate		
6.	MethylStearate	SFA	3.170
7.	MethylArachidate		
8.	MethylOctadecenoate	TFA	17.135
		TOTAL	100.00

3.7 Proximate composition of composite flour *paratha*:

In the present study, control *paratha* had significantly higher moisture content of 23.67% than the moisture content of 19.32% observed for composite flour *paratha*. Higher the moisture content lower will be the nutrient density as well as storage stability of the product. The lower moisture content of composite flour *paratha* could be attributed to higher protein content of hemp seed and chickpea flour and could be correlated with the increased fat content due to whole hemp seed flour substitution.

Total ash, crude protein, crude fat and crude fibre content was more in composite flour *paratha* than that of whole wheat *paratha* (Table 6). The rise in content due to high ash content, high crude protein and high crude fat content of hemp seed, whereas high fibre content was due to high crude fibre in corn silk. The difference in proximate content of composite flour *paratha* and whole wheat flour *paratha* were significant at $P<0.05$. Similar results are reported by other scientists also [29, 30].

In the present study, control *paratha* had significantly higher carbohydrate content of 81.26% compared to the carbohydrate content of 64.25% observed for composite flour *paratha*. This could be attributed to the presence of hemp seed and chickpea in the composite flour *paratha* since both of these flours have significantly lower carbohydrate content than the whole wheat flour.

Significant difference was observed in the physiological energy value of composite flour *paratha* (406.50 Kcal/100g) with control *paratha* (415.88 Kcal/100g).

The dietary fibre content of control *paratha* and composite flour *paratha* has been presented in Table 6. The total dietary fibre content including soluble and insoluble fractions was significantly higher in composite flour *paratha* which is directly associated with the higher dietary fibre content of hemp seed, corn silk and chickpea than whole wheat flour. The composite flour *paratha* were analysed to have TDF, SDF and IDF content of 14.71, 2.75 and 11.95 g, respectively whereas control *paratha* contained 6.91 g TDF, 0.85 g SDF and 6.05 g of IDF content. Hemp seed flour was used to make chapati by Sharma and Prabhasankar [29**]**. The results showed that the hemp seed flour chapati had 18.29, 2.63, and 15.66 g of TDF, SDF, and IDF, respectively, while the control had 11.78 g TDF, 2.46 g SDF, and 9.32 g of IDF content.

Table 6: **Nutritional and anti-oxidant composition of composite flour and whole wheat flour *paratha***

Parameters	**Compositeflour*paratha***		**Control*paratha***		**CD (P=0.05)**
	DWB	**AIB**	**DWB**	**AIB**	
Moisture*(%)	-	19.32±0.21	-	23.67±0.35	
Totalash (%)	3.99±0.14	3.22±0.10	2.57±0.20	1.96±0.15	
Crudeprotein(%)	16.36±0.39	13.19±0.35	15.28±0.46	11.67±0.34	
Crudefat(%)	11.02±0.40	8.89±0.30	7.69±0.25	5.87±0.18	
Crudefibre(%)	8.15±0.34	6.57±0.26	3.08±0.22	2.35±0.16	(p=0.05)
Carbohydrate(%)	60.48±0.30	48.79±0.53	71.37±0.11	54.48±0.32	
Physiologicalenergy(Kcal/100 g)	406.50±0.22	327.58±0.95	415.88±1.84	317.43±0.33	
Solubledietaryfibre(g/100g)	2.75±0.17		0.85±0.07		
Insolubledietaryfibre(g/100g)	11.95±0.18		6.05±0.03		
Totaldietaryfibre(g/100g)	14.71±0.35		6.91±0.08		
Totalphenolcontent(mg GAE/100g)	57.42±1.10		64.88±6.47		NS
DPPH% inhibition	56.95±0.72		99.41±0.68		(p=0.05)

Allvaluesaremean±standarddeviationofthreereplicates;
p<0.05:significantlydifferent.

3.7 *In vitro* protein digestibility (IVPD) of Composite flour *paratha*

In the present study, the IVPD value of composite flour *paratha* was estimated as 74.72% which was significantly higher than the IVPD of 64.98% observed for control *paratha*. Due to the absence of gluten protein in hemp seed and chickpea flour, composite flour *paratha* may have a greater IVPD. The wheat protein gluten in control *paratha* may be the cause of their much lower IVPD value because it resists enzymatic hydrolysis due to the presence of proline components. Similar to the present study, with a 30% substitution of whole wheat flour with whole hemp flour, whole hemp flour chapati reported a dramatic 85% of rise in the IVPD value [29].

3.8 Bioactive compounds of composite flour *paratha*

In the present study, the TPC of hemp seed incorporated composite flour *paratha* was estimated as 64.88 mgGAE/100g while for the control *paratha* the amount was observed as 57.42 mgGAE/100g (Table 6). As expected, the TPC values were higher for composite flour *paratha* due to addition of hemp seed and corn silk as they possess very high phenolic content. Addition of spices such as carom and niger seed also enhances the total phenolic content of the developed product. The DPPH % inhibition activity of the hemp seed-supplemented composite flour *paratha* was recorded at 99.47%, while the control *paratha* showed 56.95%. The significantly higher antioxidant activity in the composite flour *paratha* can be attributed to the enhanced radical scavenging properties of hemp seed, corn silk powder, and the added spices.

3.9 Fatty acid profile of composite flour *paratha*

Analysis of fatty acids in composite flour *paratha* revealed that there were thirty-seven fatty acids that were present during the analysis of the sample. Four saturated fatty acids, three monounsaturated, five polyunsaturated and one trans fatty acid were identified. The total percent of fatty acid in composite flour *paratha* was found to be 7.80 percent, out of which the percentage of saturated fatty acid was found to be 0.73 percent, 5.42 percent of monounsaturated fatty acid and 1.58 percent of polyunsaturated fatty acids was also reported during the study. 0.04 percent of trans fat was also found to be present in composite flour. The amount of omega 6 fatty acid was found to be 1.58 percent. With this composition of fatty acids the composite flour *paratha* can be labeled as a food product free from trans-fat and low in saturated fats [21].

The decrease in trans fatty acids from composite flour (1.33%) to the final *paratha* (0.04%) is likely due to a combination of factors including interaction with other ingredients, fat redistribution and addition of water.

Table 7: Typeandamountofdifferentfattyacidsin compositeflour*paratha*

S.No.	Name	Type	Area (in%)
1.	MethylPentadeccenoate	MUFA	69.609
2.	MethylPalmitoleate		
3.	MethylHeptadecenoate		
4.	MethylOleate		
5.	MethylLinolenate		
6.	Methyl Linoleate	PUFA	20.359
7.	MethylEicosadienoatecis 11,14		
8.	cis-8,11,14-Eicosatrienoicacid methyl ester		
9.	cis-13,16-Docosadienoicacid methyl ester		
10.	MethylTridecanoate	SFA	9.416
11.	MethylArachidate		
12.	Methylbehenate		
13.	MethylLinolelaidate	TFA	0.618
		TOTAL	100.00

4. CONCLUSION

The exploration and development of composite flour incorporating hemp seed flour, corn silk flour, and chickpea flour presents a promising avenue for enhancing the nutritional profile of food products while promoting sustainability in food production. The findings of this study highlight the potential benefits of using underutilized resources and agricultural byproducts, such as hemp seeds and corn silk, to create value-added products.

The incorporation of these flours into whole wheat flour resulted in a novel composite flour blend that significantly improved the protein, fiber, and bioactive compound content of the resulting food products. The developed *paratha*, made with the optimal blend of 20% hemp seed flour, 20% chickpea flour, and 10% corn silk powder, demonstrated superior nutritional qualities compared to the control made from 100% whole wheat flour with higher protein, crude fiber and ash content contributing to enhanced nutrient density and storage stability.

The composite flour itself exhibited significantly better nutritional properties compared to whole wheat flour. It had higher protein, crude fiber, crude fat and lower carbohydrate content. The composite flour's total dietary fiber was also higher and it showed improved in vitro protein digestibility. Bioactive compounds analysis revealed a higher total phenolic content and stronger antioxidant activity. The fatty acid profile indicated a favourable composition with notable levels of polyunsaturated fatty acids. Functional properties such as water absorption capacity and bulk density were superior, making it suitable for diverse food applications.

The research demonstrates that the present composite flours not only offer a sustainable alternative to traditional wheat flour but also enhance the nutritional value of food products. This innovative approach to food production aligns with regenerative agriculture principles addresses nutritional deficiencies and promoting healthier dietary practices. Future research should focus on the long-term health impacts of consuming composite flours and explore their applications in a wider range of food products. Additionally, scaling up the production and commercialization of such composite flours could further advance sustainable food practices.

REFERENCES

1. Chandra S, Singh S, Kumari D. Evaluation of functional properties of composite flours and sensorial attributes of composite flour biscuits. J Food Sci Technol. 2015;52(6):3681-3688.

2. Singh D, Raghuvanshi RS, Dutta A, Kumar A. Nutritional qualities of hemp seed (Cannabis sativa L.): An underutilized source of protein and fat. Pharma Innov J. 2022;11(10):518-521.

3. Singh A. Corn silk (Stigma maydis): Nutritional quality evaluation, product formulation and suitability for constipated population. Thesis. Doctor of Philosophy, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, Uttarakhand, India; 2021.

4. Mansoor R, Ali TM, Hasnain A. Effects of barley flour substitution on glycemic index, compositional, rheological, textural, and sensory characteristics of chickpea flour-based flat bread. Leg Sci. 2021;3(2).

5. Larmond E. Laboratory Methods for Sensory Evaluation of Food. Res Branch, Canada Dept Agric. 1977;19-63.

6. Lin MJY, Humbert ES, Sosulski FW. Certain functional properties of sunflower meal products. J Food Sci. 1974;39(2):368-370.

7. Narain M, Bose S-Sac, Jha M, Dwivedi VK. Physico-thermal properties of rice bran. J Food Sci Technol. 1978;15(1):18-20.

8. AOAC. Official Methods of Analysis of Association of Official Analytical Chemists. 18th ed. Washington, DC: AOAC; 2010.

9. Asp NG, Johansson CG. Techniques for measuring dietary fibre: Principle aims of method and comparison of results obtained by different techniques. In: James WPT, Theander O, eds. The Analysis of Dietary Fiber in Food. New York: Marcel Dekker; 1981. p. 173-190.

10. Akeson WR, Stahmann MA. A pepsin pancreatin digest index of protein quality evaluation. J Nutr. 1964;83(3):257-261.

11. Singleton VL, Orthofer R, Lamuela-Raventós RM. Analysis of total phenols and other oxidation substrates and antioxidants by means of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent. Methods Enzymol. 1999;299:152-178.

12. Williams W, Cuvelier ME, Berset C. Use of a radical method to evaluate antioxidant activity. J Food Sci Technol. 1995;28(9):25-30.

13. Emmerie A, Engel C. Colorimetric determination of α-tocopherol (vitamin E). Rec Trav Chim Pays-Bas. 1938;57(12):1351-1355.

14. Singh R, Singh G, Chauhan GS. Effect of incorporation of defatted soy flour on the quality of biscuits. J Food Sci Technol (Mysore). 1996;33(4):355-357.

15. Padilla FC, Alvarez MT, Alfaro MJ. Functional properties of Barinas nut flour (Caryodendronorinocense Karst., Euphorbiaceae) compared to those of soybean. Food Chem. 1996;57(2):191-196.

16. Chandra S, Singh S, Kumari D. Evaluation of functional properties of composite flours and sensorial attributes of composite flour biscuits. J Food Sci Technol. 2014;52(6):3681-3688.

17. Du S, Jiang H, Yu X, Jane J. Physicochemical and functional properties of whole legume flour. LWT Food Sci Technol. 2014;55(1):308-313.

18. Bhat NA, Wani IA, Hamdani AM, Gani A, Masoodi FA. Physicochemical properties of whole wheat flour as affected by gamma irradiation. LWT Food Sci Technol. 2016;71:175-183.

19. Kulkarni DB, Sakhale BK. Development of sorghum-rich multigrain flour for preparation of roti. Int J Chem Stud. 2018;6(5):3436-3440.

20. Akubor PI, Badifu GI. Chemical composition, functional properties and baking potential of African breadfruit kernel and wheat flour blends. Int J Food Sci Technol. 2004;39(2):223-229.

21. Food Safety and Standards (Advertising and Claims). New Delhi: Food Safety and Standards Authority of India; 2018. Available from: https://www.fssai.gov.in/upload/uploadfiles/files/Compendium_Advertising_Claims_Regulations_14_12_2022.pdf.

22. Longvah T, Anantan I, Bhaskarachary K, Venkaiah K. Indian Food Composition Tables. Hyderabad: National Institute of Nutrition, Indian Council of Medical Research; 2017.

23. Ragaee SM, Campbell GL, Scoles GJ, McLeod JG, Tyler RT. Studies on rye (Secale cereale L.) lines exhibiting a range of extract viscosities. 1. Composition, molecular weight distribution of water extracts, and biochemical characteristics of purified water-extractable arabinoxylan. J Agric Food Chem. 2001;49(5):2437-2445.

24. Pradeep PM, Dharmaraj U, Sathyendra Rao BV, Senthil A, Vijayalakshmi NS, Malleshi NG, Singh V. Formulation and nutritional evaluation of multigrain ready-to-eat snack mix from minor cereals. J Food Sci Technol. 2014;51(12):3812-3820.

25. Wu G, Bazer FW, Davis TA, Kim SW, Li P, Rhoads JM, Yin Y. Arginine metabolism and nutrition in growth, health, and disease. Amino Acids. 2009;37:153-168.

26. Li Y, Ma D, Sun D, Wang C, Zhang J, Xie Y, Guo T. Total phenolic, flavonoid content, and antioxidant activity of flour, noodles, and steamed bread made from different colored wheat grains by three milling methods. Crop J. 2015;3(4):328-334.

27. Itagi HB, Singh V. Preparation, nutritional composition, functional properties, and antioxidant activities of multigrain composite mixes. J Food Sci Technol. 2012;49(1):74-81.

28. Zengin G, Nithiyanantham S, Sarikurkcu C, Uysal S, Ceylan R, Ramya KS, et al. Identification of phenolic profiles, fatty acid compositions, antioxidant activities, and enzyme inhibition effects of seven wheat cultivars grown in Turkey: A phytochemical approach for their nutritional value. Int J Food Prop. 2017;20(10):2373-2382. https://doi.org/10.1080/10942912.2016.1238391.

29. Sharma S, Prabhasankar P. Effect of whole hempseed flour incorporation on the rheological, microstructural, and nutritional characteristics of chapati–Indian flatbread. LWT. 2021;137:110491.

30. Rusu IE, Marc RA, Mureşan CC, Mureşan AE, Mureşan V, Pop CR, Muste S. Hemp (Cannabis sativa L.) flour-based wheat bread as fortified bakery product. Plants. 2021;10(8):1558.