

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_126127
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutritional and Bioactive properties of composite flour made from hempseed and corn silk for formulation of fibre and protein rich paratha
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Now a days consumers are health conscious and aware about healthy foods. High fibre and protein rich diets required for growing children, pregnant women, and players. This approach is newer with untouched agricultural produce for making healthy food. So it is important manuscript. PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	We appreciate the reviewer's insightful comment and fully agree that consumers are becoming increasingly health-conscious and aware of the importance of a balanced diet. Thank you for recognizing the significance of our manuscript in contributing to this important area of research.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it needs minor correction.	The correction is incorporated.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Aim: required to be rewrite and reduce the sentence. In abstract consistency required, like Place and Duration of Study to be replaced by Place and duration of study. CONCLUSION should be written as Conclusion and remove the bold font from the text. It required to be rewritten with smaller sentences. Keywords: It should be in alphabetical order	The corrections are made.
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Introduction needs to be restructure and improvement. Also at the end of introduction objective of the present research should be incorporated. Results should not be used. Materials and Methods: references should be latest years. Language need to be improved in the manuscript. Consistency of the manuscript is poor. Improvement required.	These changes were discussed and followed.
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Omega 3 and omega 6 are very important for heart healthy. Need to be reduce the bed cholesterol and increase good cholesterol in the body by utilizing the healthy diet. So it is technically robust.	Thank you. We highly appreciate your insights.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Recent references should be incorporated in methods and results and discussion portion.	This point is considered too.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language need to be improved.	This was kept in mind during editing of the manuscript.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		
	Correction required are commented in the manuscript . deep corrections are required for sound manuscript.	The corrections are incorporated.

[Review Form 3](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	