

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_126127
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutritional and Bioactive properties of composite flour made from hempseed and corn silk for formulation of fibre and protein rich paratha
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important for the scientific community due to its significance related to nutrition, its bioactive compounds. The manuscript is well written and designed properly.	We are grateful for the recognition of the manuscript's clarity and proper design.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	Thank you for your constructive and encouraging feedback.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	Thank you
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	Thank you for the acknowledgement.
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The authors made paratha from different compositions of different flours. The authors should focus more on the health aspects of the product. The graphical representation of the composite flours and their relevant product could enhance the impact of this study.	Your comments reinforce the value of our work and motivate us to continue contributing to this important area of research.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	Thank You
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Need improvements	The changes mentioned in comments are incorporated.
Optional/General comments	The introduction part is too short; authors should discuss the every aspects of raw material and their composite flours. Tables are not properly justified. Authors should justify them properly. Please provide the reference for water absorption capacity of whole-wheat flour.	The introduction part was revised. Tables are justified according to the journal template. Water absorption capacity of wheat flour was reported as result conducted by ourselves, hence, it is reported without reference.

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	