

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_125590
Title of the Manuscript:	GELATIN, CHITOSAN, AND BEESWAX BASED EDIBLE PACKAGING FOR FOOD PRODUCT: A REVIEW
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is important as it reviews the potential application of edible packaging made from gelatin, chitosan, beeswax and their composites as packaging for food products.	Okay
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes. However, if there is a collective term to categorize Gelatin, Chitosan, and Beeswax as one item that would be suitable for a topic.	Noted
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is not comprehensive. The author must include 2 of the main findings and at least one recommendation.	Done
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes. However, the author must consider adding a paragraph for statement problem of the manuscript.	Noted
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript has revealed that the development of edible packaging is driven by the great demand for environmentally friendly and safe packaging for food products. Gelatin, chitosan and beeswax are natural ingredients that have great potential as the materials for producing edible packaging because they are safe to consumed and come from renewable resources.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes. However, 89 references seem to be too much for this manuscript. The author may consider removing those references not cited in the work.	All corrections are made
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	