Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_126366
Title of the Manuscript:	Management of stemphylium blight disease caused by Stemphylium vesicarium in onion through different methodology
Type of the Article	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Disease management is an important aspect in crop improvement and yield maximization. Onion is an important crop. It is therefore recommended that pesticide use must be discourage due to their harmful effects while development of resistant varieties should be encouraged.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Need more elaboration according to the objectives of trials	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Need arrangement of heading and subheading	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is not scientifically and technically sound regarding material and methodology is used. Statistically not sound. Poor discussion over results. (kindly see attached revised manuscript)	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient but most of the references coated are very old.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Need quality is poor. Most of the sentences are not meaningful. Need extensive work on English literacy.	
Optional/General comments	Incorporate figures/pictorial view of susceptible and resistant plants	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Zulkiffal
Department, University & Country	Ayub Agricultural Research Institute, Pakistan

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)