

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_126175
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of different Organic manures on yield of broccoli (Brassica oleracea var. italica Plenck.) cv. KTS-1 sustainable under Bundelkhand Climatic condition
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b> Mentioned in the manuscript revised format. Almost perfect manuscript with minor corrections regarding the title, clarity of 2-3 parameters and style of reference writing.	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		Highlighted in green colour
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<b>No</b> sessment of different organic manures on yield of broccoli ( <i>Brassica oleracea</i> var. italica Plenck.) cv. KTS under Bundelkhand Climatic condition	Added as per your suggestion.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<b>It's appropriate</b>	Thank you sir
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<b>Yes</b>	Thank you sir
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<b>The study has been done scientifically. Statistical parameters and data analysis has been performed. So the article is technically sound.</b>	Thank you sir for the positive feedback
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<b>Need more references addition.</b>	Added as per your suggestion.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		Thank you sir
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	