

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_126802
Title of the Manuscript:	Logistic Regression Analysis of Applicators’ Compliance with the Recommended Doses of Pesticides on Watermelon in Yobe State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is very important especially for developing economies in Africa whose major income now and in the foreseeable future is agricultural based. Use of pesticides is a very crucial issue.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title requires to be changed to read "FARMERS' COMPLIANCE TO WITH THE RECOMMENDED DOSES OF PESTICIDES ON WATERMELON IN YOBE STATE, NIGERIA"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is sufficient	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes they are	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is truly scientific and answers many questions on use of pesticides by rural communities in developing economies in Africa.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Sufficient but needs more up to date references. Here they are only about 16 out of the 24	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English language is suitable	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	David Robinson Mutekanga
Department, University & Country	Bugema University, Uganda