

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_126802
Title of the Manuscript:	Logistic Regression Analysis of Applicators’ Compliance with the Recommended Doses of Pesticides on Watermelon in Yobe State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is very important especially for developing economies in Africa whose major income now and in the foreseeable future is agricultural based. Use of pesticides is a very crucial issue.	Ok
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title requires to be changed to read "FARMERS' COMPLIANCE TO WITH THE RECOMMENDED DOSES OF PESTICIDES ON WATERMELON IN YOBE STATE, NIGERIA"	Corrected and highlighted in yellow
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is sufficient	Ok, minor corrections have been effected
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes they are	Ok, minor corrections have been effected
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is truly scientific and answers many questions on use of pesticides by rural communities in developing economies in Africa.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient but needs more up to date references. Here they are only about 16 out of the 24	Updated, Reference number 1 is chronologically important because the finding reported by the researchers gave us the motivation to pursue this one.
Minor REVISION comments	English language is suitable	ok
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethical issues were involved. The participants were made aware of the purpose of the research and they gave their consent, willingly participated without any cohesion. At the end of the data collection exercise, some of them became informed of the dangers of misusing pesticides.