

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJR2H_126209
Title of the Manuscript:	The Seroprevalence of Transfusion-Transmissible Pathogens: A Retrospective Study in Port Harcourt, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is an important study highlighting the importance of voluntary blood donation. The data needs to be shared at local and national level widely to motivate voluntary blood donation. Once a donor is confirmed to have a TTI, are they followed up for counselling? If not, kindly advice to do follow up counselling and refer the donor for treatment of TTI.	Done
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable	Agreed
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive. No additional addition or deletion suggested. The keyword “ <i>Transfision</i> ” check spelling	Corrected
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	Agreed
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript provides a data which can be a useful for making National policies. The study highlights the prevalence of TTI's in blood donors and population as a whole.	Agreed
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent.	Agreed
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English language grammar needs to be corrected thoroughly. I suggest to the authors to enhance their English language grammar skills.	Correction made
Optional/General comments	The manuscript can be accepted after the above clarifications.	Thanks

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No