

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_126392
Title of the Manuscript:	Changes in micronutrients and organic matter of soil through integrated nutrient management in Maize-Mustard crop rotation under rainfed agriculture in foothills of Himalayas
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Highly suitable to recommend the farmers in relation to the dynamics of soil organic carbon and micronutrients which will help in manifestation of mal nutrition	I would like to say thanks for this comment. This research will help the farmers regarding the use of combination of inorganic and organic manures for increasing fertility of the soil.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Impact of Integrated Nutrient Management on Soil Micronutrients and Organic Matter Dynamics in Maize-Mustard Crop Rotation in Himalayan Foothills	I acknowledged this comment and changed the title of manuscript.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, slight sentence modifications are required	Thanks am looking forward to modify
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes , appropriate	I appreciate thanks
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The current research work is scientifically sound and highly suitable under the given cropping system but need to carryout the comments given in the manuscript.	Yes I completely agree and am changing few sentences.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient	Thanks for your comment.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Slight modifications are required	Acknowleged looking forward to modify
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	