Review Form 3

| Journal Name: | International Journal of Plant & Soil Science |
|--------------------------|--|
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJPSS_125704 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Evaluation of the production and preservation ability of four varieties of onions (Allium cepa L., Alliaceae) in Korhogo, northern Côte d'Ivoire |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/ Benefits for Reviewers: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

Review Form 3

PART 1: Reviewer Comments:

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and |
|---|---|---|
| | | highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that |
| | | authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the | The importance of this manuscript for the scientific community is excellent as because onions | |
| importance of this manuscript for the scientific | are very important spices as well as medicinal crop. The authors evaluated the production and | |
| community. Why do you like (or dislike) this | preservation efficiency of four varieties of onions in Korhogo, northern Côte d'Ivoire. The | |
| manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be | manuscript is almost robust and technically sound also. It will be useful and informative for | |
| required for this part. | students and researchers. | |
| | | |
| | SEE ATTACHMENT | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? | Yes the title of the article is suitable and appropriate. | |
| (If not please suggest an alternative title) | | |
| | | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do | The abstract of the article is comprehensive but it should be arranged as the journal format and some | |
| you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some | minor revision is need which I mentioned by red coloured. | |
| points in this section? Please write your | | |
| suggestions here. | | |
| | | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript | No, subsections and structure of the manuscript should be arranged according to journal format. | |
| appropriate? | | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the | The authors wrote the manuscript according to scientific sequence by following abstract, | |
| scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do | introduction, materials and methods, results and discussions and conclusion etc. The | |
| you think that this manuscript is scientifically | manuscript is almost robust and technically sound as because they used suitable statistical | |
| robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 | analysis in materials and methods and also correctly discussed in discussion section with | |
| sentences may be required for this part. | related references. Conclusion supported by the data, discussed inside the manuscript properly. | |
| | | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you | Yes, the references are sufficient and some are recent but it would be better if some more recent | |
| have suggestions of additional references, please | references could include. | |
| mention them in the review form. | | |
| <u>:</u> | | |
| Minor REVISION comments | It is moderate but authors should try to improve English language quality. | |
| | | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article | | |
| suitable for scholarly communications? | | |
| Outional/Outant | Many accept of the provincian | |
| Optional/General comments | May accept after revision | |
| | | |

PART 2:

| | | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Reviewer Details:

| Name: | Md. Majibar Rahman |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Department, University & Country | Bangladesh Jute Research Institute, Bangladesh |

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)