

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_126048
Title of the Manuscript:	RESEARCH OF PESTICIDES IN FRUITS AND VEGETABLES GROWN IN THE DISTRICT OF ABIDJAN, IVORY COAST
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Solanum lycopersicum, Capsicum sp, Cucumis sativus and Lactuca sativa are important vegetables in agriculture throughout the world. So, detection of pesticide residues are noteworthy, because of the contamination causes diseases, at least triggers allergies. The level of residual pesticides could be even more minimized by authorities.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Quite comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, suitable.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Author used an HPLC to detect the residual pesticides, which is quite modern and precise method. Also, author made a comparison with another study carried out in Colombia, and found 23 pesticides similarly in lettuce. Also the author made a discussion with another similar studies about this topic which is quite relevant, such as pesticide level found in China, Botswana, Kenya and Tanzania.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Generally recent.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Quite minor modifications done with regards to the language. Tracked changes of the article attached separately.	
Optional/General comments	In 2-2-3 section author mentions a stirrer. Indicating its brand name and model number would be preferable, if available.	A stirrer is an Ultra Trax mixer (OMNI International, USA)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No, this manuscript does not contain ethical problems.