Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_126407
Title of the Manuscript:	Study on sensory analysis of gulabjamun prepared by utilization of sweet potato (Ipomoea batatas) pulp
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/ Benefits for Reviewers: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		montal recased trialey
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments			
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?			
Optional/General comments			
		Corrections required:	
	Line no	Correction required	Suggestion
			S
	5 1	five levels i.e., 0, 5, 10, 15, 20	Over space
	6	Studied for	Over space
	47 - 48	Sensory evaluation was performed	Were, over space
	47 - 48	The product was evaluated for sensory	Over space
	71 - 72	Receiving of Milk	Row or boiled specify
	106 -107	Sweet Potato pulp were added	Over space
		when Sweet Potato pulp	When
	110		
		Refeerences	Missing 03

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rajan Mishra
Department, University & Country	CVASc, GBPUA&T, India

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)