Review Form 3

Journal Name:	**Asian Research Journal of Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_ARJA_126407**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Study on sensory analysis of gulabjamun prepared by utilization of sweet potato (Ipomoea batatas) pulp**
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/
Benefits for Reviewers: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	**Reviewer's comment**	**Author's Feedback** *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	**It's a good topic about new functional food product sensory evaluation**	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	**Yes, suitable**	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	**Yes, rewrite and improve the abstract**	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	**Not appropriate**	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	**https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Muhammad-Ali-752/publication/359200270_EFFECT_OF_STORAGE_ON_PHYSICO-CHEMICAL_MICROBIAL_AND_SENSORY_EVALUATION_OF_SWEET_BASED_DAIRY_PRODUCTS/links/622dc25d9f7b324634285c43/EFFECT-OF-STORAGE-ON-PHYSICO-CHEMICAL-MICROBIAL-AND-SENSORY-EVALUATION-OF-SWEET-BASED-DAIRY-PRODUCTS.pdf**	
Minor REVISION comments **Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	**Improve sentence and grammar.**	
Optional/General comments	Comments: 1: Improve the abstract 2; add 3 or 4 keywords 3: improve the introduction with the latest research study 4: In material and method use these headings 1: Raw material, 2:sample preparation, 3: product development, 4: sensory evaluation 5: In results and discussion represent your results only in a Table or graph 6: Remove all grammatical mistakes	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Muhammad Qasim Ali**
Department, University & Country	**Malaysia**