

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_126407
Title of the Manuscript:	Study on sensory analysis of gulabjamun prepared by utilization of sweet potato (Ipomoea batatas) pulp
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It's a good topic about new functional food product sensory evaluation	OK
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, suitable	Thanks
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, rewrite and improve the abstract	ok
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Not appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Muhammad-Ali-752/publication/359200270_EFFECT_OF_STORAGE_ON_PHYSICO-CHEMICAL_MICROBIAL_AND_SENSORY_EVALUATION_OF_SWEET_BASED_DAIRY_PRODUC TS/links/622dc25d9f7b324634285c43/EFFECT-OF-STORAGE-ON-PHYSICO-CHEMICAL-MICROBIAL-AND-SENSORY-EVALUATION-OF-SWEET-BASED-DAIRY-PRODUCTS.pdf	Noted
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Improve sentence and grammar.	
Optional/General comments	Comments: 1: Improve the abstract 2; add 3 or 4 keywords 3: improve the introduction with the latest research study 4: In material and method use these headings 1: Raw material, 2:sample preparation, 3: product development, 4: sensory evaluation 5: In results and discussion represent your results only in a Table or graph 6: Remove all grammatical mistakes	Done revision

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	