

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Agriculture</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_126407
Title of the Manuscript:	Study on sensory analysis of gulabjamun prepared by utilization of sweet potato (Ipomoea batatas) pulp
Type of the Article	

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.  
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>																											
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.																													
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)																													
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.																													
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?																													
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.																													
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -																													
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?																													
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<div>Corrections required:</div> <table><thead><tr><th>Line no</th><th>Correction required</th><th>Suggestion s</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>5</td><td>five levels i.e., 0, 5, 10, 15, 20</td><td>Over space</td></tr><tr><td>6</td><td>Studied for</td><td>Over space</td></tr><tr><td>47 - 48</td><td>Sensory evaluation was performed</td><td>Were, over space</td></tr><tr><td>47 - 48</td><td>The product was evaluated for sensory</td><td>Over space</td></tr><tr><td>71 - 72</td><td>Receiving of Milk</td><td>Row or boiled specify</td></tr><tr><td>106 -107</td><td>Sweet Potato pulp were added</td><td>Over space</td></tr><tr><td>109 - 110</td><td>when Sweet Potato pulp</td><td>When</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>Refeerences</td><td>Missing 03</td></tr></tbody></table>	Line no	Correction required	Suggestion s	5	five levels i.e., 0, 5, 10, 15, 20	Over space	6	Studied for	Over space	47 - 48	Sensory evaluation was performed	Were, over space	47 - 48	The product was evaluated for sensory	Over space	71 - 72	Receiving of Milk	Row or boiled specify	106 -107	Sweet Potato pulp were added	Over space	109 - 110	when Sweet Potato pulp	When		Refeerences	Missing 03	Revision made
Line no	Correction required	Suggestion s																											
5	five levels i.e., 0, 5, 10, 15, 20	Over space																											
6	Studied for	Over space																											
47 - 48	Sensory evaluation was performed	Were, over space																											
47 - 48	The product was evaluated for sensory	Over space																											
71 - 72	Receiving of Milk	Row or boiled specify																											
106 -107	Sweet Potato pulp were added	Over space																											
109 - 110	when Sweet Potato pulp	When																											
	Refeerences	Missing 03																											

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	