

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_126457
Title of the Manuscript:	Enhancing Wheat Performance: Impact of Sowing Timing and Growth Regulators on Yield Traits
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript expresses the importance of sowing wheat at the optimum time long with the application of various PGR for enhancing its productivity. Apart from the scientific community this article will hold good values for the global farming community for optimizing the sowing date of wheat and encourage them to apply PGR to enhance the sustainability of the system. This manuscript is well written but need major revision. The result and discussion part should be reorganised for better scientific acceptance. Few corrections in the methodology part are also noticed. However, upon revision it will emerge as more scientific.	We understand the need for revisions, particularly in reorganizing the Results and Discussion section for improved scientific clarity and making corrections in the Methodology section. We will address these points carefully to enhance the scientific quality and overall readability of the manuscript.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title was not clear. Hence a new title based on the work is suggested Effect of sowing date and plant growth regulators on growth and yield attributes of Wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.) grown at(mention the agroecological name of the experimental region) of Bangladesh.	We have changed the title as per your recommendation. <i>“Effect of Sowing Date and Plant Growth Regulators on Growth and Yield Attributes of Wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.) Grown at AEZ-9 of Bangladesh”</i>
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract is comprehensive. I have pointed out two improvements in the text.	The abstract has been improved and highlighted with yellow color in the original manuscript.
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Result and discussion section needs refinement. Please restructure the result and discussion section. Subdivided it into two sections: 1)Effect of Sowing date and PGR on growth parameters of wheat (include plant height, dry matter accumulation, LAI, and other parameter if present) 2) Effect of Sowing date and PGR on yield parameters and yield of wheat (include all yield parameters and yield) . sJust reorganize. The content is perfect. Add the tables and figures in the respected sections. This would make the manuscript more scientific and better to read.	Thank you for your feedback. I have reorganized the result and discussion section according to your recommendations. The section is now divided into: 1. Effect of Sowing Date and PGR on Growth Parameters of Wheat: This section covers parameters such as plant height, dry matter accumulation, and LAI. Relevant tables and figures have been added to visually support the findings. 2. Effect of Sowing Date and PGR on Yield Parameters and Yield of Wheat: This section includes all yield parameters and the yield data itself, with tables and figures incorporated in the appropriate sections for clarity.

Review Form 3

Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The experiment is conducted with proper rationale and methods however, I believe the authors have missed some aspects, which could be addressed. I have mentioned all of them in the text.	Thank you for pointing out the additional aspects to be addressed. I have made the necessary corrections in the manuscript as per your suggestions and highlighted these changes in yellow
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references were found to be sufficient.	We appreciate your feedback
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	Thank you for your valuable response
Optional/General comments	The contents are good however, Major revisions needed.	Thank you for the feedback. I have made the major revisions as requested, aligning all changes with your requirements

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	There are no such issues in this manuscript