

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_126611
Title of the Manuscript:	Socio-Economic Profile Characteristics and Managerial Ability of the Members of Agnigarh Producer Company Limited in Sonitpur District of Assam with Reference to Commercial Litchi Production
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript on the socio-economic profiles and managerial capabilities of Agnigarh Producer Company members in Sonitpur, Assam, offers significant insights into the factors affecting commercial litchi production., This study highlights the potential to improve productivity and farm income through enhanced management and adoption of modern agricultural practices. This manuscript is valuable to understand farmer producer companies, particularly in a niche crop with unique regional attributes like the Tezpur litchi. Its findings could aid policymakers and agricultural extension services in creating programs that support managerial and technical training for the company members, ultimately benefiting the scientific community's efforts in agricultural management research.	Thank you Reviewer for liking my research work manuscript and providing your valuable opinion on my research work.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable	Thank You.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is generally comprehensive in that it covers objectives, methodology and key findings. However, following suggestions would make it more focused: 1. Make it condensed and focused with key findings that have greater influence on managerial ability and socio-economic factors. Do not put too much statistical details in the abstract. 2. Link findings to real world application by mentioning how the findings could help improve agricultural practices, guide policy, or support training programs. 3. Mention also generalizability of the study in other areas, crops, institution and population.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Out of 16 independent variables I have given only data of 10 independent variables which have greater influence on managerial ability.</li><li>2. In the conclusion part with each data of the independent variable it is explained to improve the needy practices.</li><li>3. Added in the last part of the conclusion.</li></ol>
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript is well structured with Abstract, Introduction, Methodology, Results and Discussion, and Conclusion. Better to add a section about Limitations and Future Research.	Limitations and future research scope is added in the last part of the conclusion.
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically robust, as it utilizes a clear and structured methodology to assess managerial ability and socio-economic characteristics of members. The study ensures reliability in measuring complex variables like managerial competence by employing appropriate sampling technique and using validated scale. The use of statistical methods to analyze relationships between variables, such as education level and litchi yield, adds rigor and supports the validity of its conclusions. Additionally, the manuscript contextualizes findings within existing literature, showing an awareness of broader research trends and reinforcing the credibility of its contributions to agricultural management studies.	Thank you reviewer. I have gone through several research papers during my research work to make my research valuable for the farmers and scientific community.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	The references are sufficient and recent.	Thank You.

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments  <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language quality is generally adequate. Refinement of the language may enhance readability. Some suggestions: <div><div>1.</div><div>Simplify complex sentences</div></div> <div><div>2.</div><div>Reducing redundant phrases and focus on the core findings and implications</div></div> <div><div>3.</div><div>Maintain consistency in terminology, particularly of technical terms</div></div> <div><div>4.</div><div>Give a final proofread to correct minor grammatical errors and ensure adherence to academic writing standards</div></div>
---	--

PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer’s comment</b>	<b>Author’s comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	How far I Know there should not be any ethical Issues. Thank you for reviewing my manuscript.