

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_125664
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of feeding Ginger (Zingiber officinale) powder on nutrient digestibility of Konkan Kanyal kids
Type of the Article	

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript explains about the effect of supplementation of ginger powder on nutrient digestibility of Konkani kids. Though the study presents an interesting findings, there are few areas that should be addressed to enhance the comprehensiveness and originality of the research. As per my observation, this manuscript is plagiarized and exact phrasing are used from the below mentioned research article in abstract, introduction, methodology and conclusion without paraphrasing even a single sentence and citing the source <a href="https://www.thepharmajournal.com/archives/2023/vol12issue11S/PartK/S-12-11-58-837.pdf">https://www.thepharmajournal.com/archives/2023/vol12issue11S/PartK/S-12-11-58-837.pdf</a> . I strongly consider that the paper could be published only after complete revision and rewriting of the manuscript. The author does not compile according to the journal quality standards.	Done
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, The title of the article is suitable for the manuscript content.	okay
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Though the abstract of the article is comprehensive, rewriting of the abstract is mandatory. The authors can consider giving subsections in the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate but the following suggestions can be considered to improve the overall quality of the manuscript.  <b>Introduction and Methodology</b> - Information about Animal ethics committee clearance can be included in the methodology section. It would be valuable to provide more details about the methodology utilized in the study. The authors can add information about the specific procedures used for the analytical techniques employed for evaluating all the parameters mentioned in the manuscript.  <b>Results and Discussion</b> – It could be noticed that the author did not cite relevant literature references that supports the presented information for the explanation given for Table 1, 2 and 3. More literature and elaboration needs to be added in the all the subsections of the Result and Discussion to enrich the manuscript. The authors are requested to provide the Full form of DM, CP, EE, CF, NFE below each table for better clarity. The authors should mention the statistical tool used for statistical analysis properly below each table. Rather than writing only “Numbers having different superscript differed from each other”. <b>Conclusion</b> – The authors are requested to rewrite the conclusion part completely by effectively summarizing the key findings and implications of the study. It would be helpful to readers if you could provide some context about the importance of incorporation of ginger powder to improve digestibility. This would help readers understand the significance of the findings and their potential impact. It is also important to acknowledge any limitations or potential biases the author faced during the study.	Statistical method is been mentioned in Methodology Done
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Ginger possesses many health benefits such as stimulating digestion, reducing inflammation and boosting immunity as the manuscript describes the effect of supplementation of ginger powder on digestibility of Konkani goat kids, the novelty of the manuscript can be considered as scientifically sound. However, the manuscript lacks in many areas and requires complete rewriting to be considered for publishing.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Relevant quality recent research articles can be cited.	Its new topic very few research have been made and I have tried to incorporate all the available material related to my research

**Review Form 3**

:		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	By careful reading of the entire manuscript, it can be concluded that the English used in manuscript is of publication quality with few grammatical errors and require minor revision to improve the overall quality of the publication.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	Yes, The following ethical issues are observed in the manuscript 1. Plagiarism 2. Information about animal ethics committee clearance as the study involves feeding of ginger powder on nutrient digestibility of konkan kanyal goat kids (if applicable). Kindly provide justification.	
<b>Are there competing interest issues in this manuscript?</b>	There are no competing interest issues in the manuscript.	
<b>If plagiarism is suspected, <u>please provide related proofs or web links.</u></b>	As per my observation, this manuscript is plagiarized and exact phrasing are used from below mentioned research article in abstract, introduction, methodology and conclusion without paraphrasing even a single sentence and citing the source <a href="https://www.thepharmajournal.com/archives/2023/vol12issue11S/PartK/S-12-11-58-837.pdf">https://www.thepharmajournal.com/archives/2023/vol12issue11S/PartK/S-12-11-58-837.pdf</a> . I strong consider that the paper is not worth of publication until the plagiarism issue is dealt and complete revision and rewriting of manuscript is recommended.	