

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_123841
Title of the Manuscript:	AMUL PREFERRED OUTLETS (APOS) SATISFACTION TOWARDS SERVICES OFFERED BY VASUDHARA DAIRY – STAFF SOCIETY
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, no additions required.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure and everything is appropriate, but the methodology section need to be improved.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The Methodology section requires greater emphasis, and it would be beneficial to outline key points regarding how the study can contribute to the research community. The paper lacks a strong technical and analytical focus; it primarily serves as a basic report on data collection and personal reflections.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Not Sufficient. Add latest references like various articles related to supply chain management and use relevant sources.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is acceptable, and the articles are written in very simple terms, suitable for a student audience.	
Optional/General comments	The study appears to resemble a fundamental Master's project, with results primarily consisting of comparisons and a lack of in-depth analysis that is typically expected at a research level.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mukesh Kondala
Department, University & Country	GITAM Deemed to be University, India