

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_126524
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF QUALITY OF LIFE IN TREATED BREAST CANCER PATIENTS IN TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL OF KARACHI
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In general, the idea of the article is interesting since breast cancer is the most common and it is very important to know what is the psycho-emotional state of patients after treatment. However, I think that it is advisable to separately consider the assessment of the quality of life of postoperative and and systemic treatment. It is also very important to observe the time interval of the survey after treatment, as delayed memories of the disease and satisfaction can distort the results of the study, as well as the small number of patients involved in the study	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	due to the fact that breast cancer treatment has a comprehensive extended approach, I believe that it is necessary to clarify and include in the title of the article words like “post-surgery” or “after surgery” instead of “treated”	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	the abstract of the article contains all the necessary points for a complete understanding of the main message of the study	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	all conditions and requirements are met	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The authors correctly posed the research question, established the purpose and objectives of the study, and carried out a statistical analysis of the work done.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	detailed analysis of references requires additional time	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	written in clear and accessible expressions for the reader, however I notice several spelling errors	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I recommend inserting more recent statistics data. For example, the 2022 version of GLOBOCAN is available	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dilyara Kaidarova
Department, University & Country	Kazakh Institute of Oncology and Radiology, Kazakhstan