

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_126524
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF QUALITY OF LIFE IN TREATED BREAST CANCER PATIENTS IN TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL OF KARACHI
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In general, the idea of the article is interesting since breast cancer is the most common and it is very important to know what is the psycho-emotional state of patients after treatment. However, I think that it is advisable to separately consider the assessment of the quality of life of postoperative and and systemic treatment. It is also very important to observe the time interval of the survey after treatment, as delayed memories of the disease and satisfaction can distort the results of the study, as well as the small number of patients involved in the study	Thank you for the kind comments. Separate assessment of quality of life in post-operative and post systemic therapy patients cannot be done in this study as our objective was to assess quality of life in patients who had completed their oncological treatment including medical and surgical. Further many patients include those who had undergone Neoadjuvant as well as adjuvant chemotherapy, radiation is always done postoperatively so separate assessment was not possible. Time interval between completion of treatment and data collection is already mentioned in the article both in result paragraph mentioned as year of surgery , as well as in tabular format in table 1 and table 6. Further assessment also has been mentioned that no difference in quality of life was noted in patients treated 1 year back as compared to treated 5 years back.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	due to the fact that breast cancer treatment has a comprehensive extended approach, I believe that it is necessary to clarify and include in the title of the article words like “post-surgery” or “after surgery” instead of “treated”	Necessary changes have been made in the title in the revised manuscript as advised by the reviewer.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	the abstract of the article contains all the necessary points for a complete understanding of the main message of the study	Thank you for the comments. All required things have been added in the article and necessary changes made in the revised manuscript.
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	all conditions and requirements are met	Thank you for the comments. All required things have been added in the article and necessary changes made in the revised manuscript.
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The authors correctly posed the research question, established the purpose and objectives of the study, and carried out a statistical analysis of the work done.	Thank you for the comments. All required things have been added in the article and necessary changes made in the revised manuscript.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	detailed analysis of references requires additional time	Thank you for the comments. All required things have been added in the article and necessary changes made in the revised manuscript. References have been updated as required in the revised manuscript.

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	written in clear and accessible expressions for the reader, however I notice several spelling errors	All the grammar and spelling mistakes are corrected as advised in revised manuscript.
Optional/General comments	I recommend inserting more recent statistics data. For example, the 2022 version of GLOBOCAN is available	Updated version of GLOBOCAN has been updated in the revised article manuscript. https://gco.iarc.who.int/media/globocan/factsheets/cancers/20-breast-fact-sheet.pdf

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	No ethical issues noted