

EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT’S on revised paper (if any)	Authors’ response to editor’s comments
<p>The article needs to correction as following,</p> <p>1) single season data</p> <p>2) Sufficient and relevant data are not furnished</p> <p>3) since it is field experiment , DMP is given in grams and no grain and stover yield is provided</p> <p>4) Latest literature are not provided</p> <p>5) Introduction does not comply why this experiment was taken</p> <p>6) Materials and Methods portion is not upto the mark</p> <p>It is very important to have two season / year data for validation of data and then only it warrants for publication</p>	<p>Below, we have addressed the points raised:</p> <p>1. Single Season Data: We acknowledge that the study was conducted in a single season. However, we would like to highlight that due to the M Sc. programme, logistical constraints prevented us from collecting data over multiple seasons. We have discussed this limitation in the revised manuscript and suggested that future studies should consider multi-season data for more robust validation of the results.</p> <p>2. Sufficient and Relevant Data: We have reviewed the manuscript and added additional data where necessary. Specifically, we have included further details on [Abstract, introduction, material and methods] and clarified the methodology and results to make the data more comprehensive.</p> <p>3. DMP in Grams and Lack of Grain and Stover Yields: We have revised the manuscript to provide detailed information on both grain yields, as per your suggestion. We have also clarified the measurement of DMP (Dry Matter Production) in grams and linked it to the respective yields for clarity.</p> <p>4. Latest Literature: We have updated the literature review to include more recent studies and references relevant to the research topic. We hope that these additions now align the manuscript with the current state of the field.</p> <p>5. Introduction: We have revised the introduction to provide a clearer rationale for why the experiment was conducted. We have included background information on the research gap and the significance of the study in relation to previous work.</p> <p>6. Materials and Methods: The Materials and Methods section has been expanded and clarified. We have provided more detailed descriptions of the experimental design, data collection, and statistical analyses to meet the required standards.</p> <p>We understand the importance of multi-season data for the validation of field experiment results, and we plan to address this in future iterations of the study. We hope that the revisions made in response to your comments improve the manuscript and bring it closer to publication standards.</p>