

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_125617
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Organic Manures and Biofertilizer on Crop Phenology, Growth parameters and Yield of Mung Bean ( <i>Vigna radiata</i> )
Type of the Article	Research article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article was very useful for the scientific and farming community. It clearly gives an idea on effect of Organic Manures and Biofertilizer on Crop Phenology and Growth parameters of Mung Bean ( <i>Vigna radiata</i> ).  SEE ATTACHMENT	Done as suggested
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Ok
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	Thanks
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The experiment was taken up scientifically and statistically. The periodic data for the experimental crop was collected. It was statistically analyzed and presented. The article was technically well presented.	Noted
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References were sufficient but some were missing.	Ok
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	1. Carry out all the corrections mentioned in the manuscript. 2. Data was well presented but, results should be elaborately written.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	