

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_125815
Title of the Manuscript:	Innovation of BioCurcuminoids and its Pharmacokinetic Study of CurcuminAura™ with regular curcuminoids
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I find this manuscript valuable due to its innovative approach in solving a long-standing problem with curcumin. The study's design is well-structured, and its focus on pharmacokinetics offers solid data to support the enhanced efficacy of CurcuminAura™. However, the complexity of the extraction and formulation process might make replication difficult, which could be a limitation for widespread application.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	"From Tradition to Innovation: Pharmacokinetic Insights into CurcuminAura™ and Conventional Curcuminoids"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Suggestions for Addition: 1. Purpose Clarification: A brief statement on the significance of enhancing curcumin bioavailability could emphasize the potential impact on health and disease prevention. 2. Methodological Details: Including the specific method of blood analysis (e.g., HPLC, LC-MS) would provide clarity on how the pharmacokinetic data was obtained. 3. Statistical Analysis: Mentioning any statistical methods used to analyze the data would strengthen the reliability of the results. 4. Conclusions and Implications: A brief summary of the implications of the findings for clinical use or future research could enhance the conclusion.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript appears to be scientifically robust and technically sound based on several factors. It utilizes well-established methodologies, such as HPLC analysis, to accurately quantify curcumin content and compare the bioavailability of CurcuminAura™ with regular curcumin, ensuring reliable and repeatable results. The pharmacokinetic study design, including the use of Sprague Dawley rats and systematic blood sampling at multiple time points, follows standard practices in drug evaluation, which strengthens the validity of the findings. Additionally, the manuscript provides detailed explanations of the formulation process and bioavailability enhancement mechanisms, supporting the scientific rigor of the study.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rahul Gogoi
Department, University & Country	Assam Agricultural University, India