Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_125815
Title of the Manuscript:	Innovation of BioCurcuminoids and its Pharmacokinetic Study of CurcuminAura™ with regular curcuminoids
Type of the Article	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that
		part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is very significant for the scientific and academic community. Innovation of BioCurcuminoids and its Pharmacokinetic Study of CurcuminAura™ with regular curcuminoids is significantly described in the manuscript. The current research work in the aforesaid manuscript is the need of the hour.	his/her feedback here)
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title can be reframed as more specific highlighting the entire research work in the manuscript. The title must be concise and no bold letters in between the abstract must be written as far as possible.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The word limit of the abstract can be rechecked with the author guidelines and as per the journal requirements.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the structure and subsections are appropriate but the subheadings such as Conflict of Interest and Acknowledgements can also be included in the above manuscript. The conclusion must also be elaborated to give the concluding remarks of the manuscript.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, the manuscript is logically and scientifically structured. The photographs of the study site and results of the research findings must also be incorporated.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are few, some more recent years specific references must also be incorporated. The supporting references must be relevant to the above-mentioned research work and must be quoted wherever required.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language is reader friendly and suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	NIL	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and
		highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should
		write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dhananjay Pandey
Department, University & Country	Govt. Naveen Girls College, India

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)