

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_125815
Title of the Manuscript:	Innovation of BioCurcuminoids and its Pharmacokinetic Study of CurcuminAura™ with regular curcuminoids
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is very significant for the scientific and academic community. Innovation of BioCurcuminoids and its Pharmacokinetic Study of CurcuminAura™ with regular curcuminoids is significantly described in the manuscript. The current research work in the aforesaid manuscript is the need of the hour.	More absorptive Curcuminoids when compared to regular Curcumin. Dosage can reduce and absorption of active content can be reduced.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title can be reframed as more specific highlighting the entire research work in the manuscript. The title must be concise and no bold letters in between the abstract must be written as far as possible.	Standardization of bioavailable in the branded product CurcuminAura™, and the study of pharmacokinetic activities.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The word limit of the abstract can be rechecked with the author guidelines and as per the journal requirements.	The abstract has been briefly described. However, the abstract will be scientifically reviewed and will be rechecked and rephrased as per guidelines.
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the structure and subsections are appropriate but the subheadings such as Conflict of Interest and Acknowledgements can also be included in the above manuscript. The conclusion must also be elaborated to give the concluding remarks of the manuscript.	The conclusion will be reviewed and will be more briefly described with emphasis on the concluding statements will be provided .
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, the manuscript is logically and scientifically structured. The photographs of the study site and results of the research findings must also be incorporated.	This publication uses a proven methodology that includes pharmacokinetic testing with animal models and HPLC analysis, making it both technically sound and scientifically sound. The study design follows a strict protocol with precise dose administration and scheduled blood collection, comparing CurcuminAura™ to conventional curcumin in Sprague Dawley rats. The information provided is unambiguous and supported by repeatable procedures, particularly the shown increase in bioavailability via pharmacokinetic parameters. The publication is a trustworthy addition to the area because it also adheres to accepted analytical practices for bioavailability research, like HPLC analysis.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are few, some more recent years specific references must also be incorporated. The supporting references must be relevant to the above-mentioned research work and must be quoted wherever required.	References used have been cited in the article. If possible, will add more recent publications as well.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		Yes, the language is simple and reader friendly.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language is reader friendly and suitable for scholarly communications.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	NIL	

[Review Form 3](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	NA