

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Surgery</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_125338
Title of the Manuscript:	Immature Teratoma of the Ovary: A Case Report and Literature Review
Type of the Article	Case report

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Pediatric tumors account for many abdominal masses and due to sensitivity of this field and over all novelty and rareness of this cases such a reports alarms physicians working in pediatric field . Also a good source for argue about controversies .	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes , appropriate.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes according to type of article I think it's proper.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Teratomas are an important subtype of pediatric tumors . Main therapeutic plan is surgery and rest based on the pathologic survey of specimen . Being immature and grading predicts further steps such as chemotherapy. Although it's a fact and not being so impressive the steps seems proper in this case review.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Yes , it's sufficient.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I didn't have big problem with language quality.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Amir Hossein Ladan
Department, University & Country	Zanjan University of Medical Sciences, Iran