

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_126196
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review On Waste Water Treatment Using Electrocoagulation for Heavy Metals
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Important subject but needs more development and examples about efficiency of electrocoagulation to treat pollutants and heavy metals from wastewater.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No Suggested title: A Review On Treatment by Electrocoagulation to remove pollutants and Heavy Metals from Waste Water	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	asion-exchange, are used to remove heavy metals from water and wastewater. All of these methods are not economical and result in secondary sludge, which poses a risk to the environment ion-exchange, are used to remove heavy metals from wastewater. This methods are less economical and can result a secondary waste like sludges, which poses also a risk to the environment	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No 1.Environmental issues/impacts of raw wastewater 2.Advantages of electrocoagulation 3. Microplastics removal by electrocoagulation 4.Three-dimensional electrocoagulation 5.Experimental set up: 6.Effect of different operating parameters Different methods to detect heavy metals in wastewater (out of context)	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Need more improvement	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. Zari
Department, University & Country	Cadi Ayyad University, Morocco