

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_123723
Title of the Manuscript:	Quality Testing And Cost Analysis Of Weaning Foods Prepared From Multigrain Flour And Milk Powder
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important to the scientific community. There is need to develop low-cost weaning foods so that the less privileged population will also have access to quality weaning foods.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	'Testing' in the title should be replaced with 'Evaluation'.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically robust. The researchers saw the need to develop low-cost good quality weaning foods. The weaning foods were formulated using the ingredients at various ratios. The formulated foods were subjected to proximate analysis. Also the effect of storage time on the nutrients was assessed. The researchers went on to do a cost analysis to know if the foods were cheaper than the commercial ones. Sensory evaluation was done as well.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	The references are sufficient and recent.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Suitable for scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments	I recommend that the work be published after minor corrections.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Osuchukwu Chibuzo Obioma
Department, University & Country	Federal Polytechnic Nekede, Nigeria