

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_126272
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Spray Parameters of UAV Sprayer on Droplet Size in Paddy Spraying
Type of the Article	Research on agriculture

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Every farmer knows this type of Spray Parameters of UAV Sprayer on Droplet Size in Paddy Spraying ,It is very useful to know how much speed and sprayers.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes Need to correct the English grammar.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Satisfactory Follow the IEEE format is better	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	good	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Need to increase only 12 Atleast 25 references	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	average Need to improve English grammar	
Optional/General comments	After small revision go for acceptance	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Padamata Ramesh Babu
Department, University & Country	Bapatla Engineering College, India